Inter-component Phase Processing of Quasipolyharmonic Signals

Vasili I. Vorobiov, Daniil A. Kechik, Siarhei Y. Barysenka*

Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics, Minsk, Belarus

Abstract

The paper presents a generalization of theoretical and experimental research in the field of inter-component phase signal processing based on instantaneous phase estimates of multiple or rational frequency harmonic components. We propose to model harmonic phase of each component of quasipolyharmonic signal with consideration of relative delays that occur on different frequencies during the signal propagation. Based on the proposed harmonic phase model, it is argued the inter-component phase relations carry the information about parameters of these relative delays. We introduce the general expression for the inter-component phase relations estimates, showing their temporal constancy and invariance to the time-frequency shifts and fluctuations of the harmonic amplitudes. These properties correspond to the findings obtained for signal propagation experiments with prior knowledge of harmonic phases. Applicability of proposed estimates for processing of natural signals is justified by results of past speech processing research (including speaker identification and speech enhancement) and novel experiments on condition monitoring of industrial machines. By employing the proposed harmonic phase model, we discuss why the earlier research on speech structure using higher-order spectra techniques did not reveal the non-linear nature of speech. We carry out simple experiments on condition monitoring of industrial machines of shaft misalignment based on the distribution of standard deviation of inter-component phase relations.

Keywords: phase estimation, inter-component phase relations, harmonic phase model, speech, fault diagnosis, shaft misalignment

1. Introduction

Inter-component signal processing techniques are based on the parameters estimation of multiple or rational fre- $_{25}$ quency harmonic components. This paper focuses on inter-component *phase* signal processing techniques based on the *instantaneous phase* estimation of harmonic components. The core concept of inter-component phase signal processing is the idea of *inter-component phase estimation*, ₃₀ which can be considered as a generalization of phase shift estimation to the case of *arbitrary* number of multiple or

rational frequency harmonic components.

Predominantly, the inter-component phase estimation has been carried out for synthetic signals. The research of modulated ultrasound waves propagation in dispers-³⁵ ing medium revealed a novel (at that moment) method for ultrasound dispersion measurement, introducing the notion of the phase invariant [1, 2]. Methods for phase difference estimation of two multiple frequency harmonic components have been widely used in radar-based object recognition systems [3, 4, 5]. Subsequently, these methods have been applied for the phase estimation of multiple

*Corresponding author

20

frequency signals in underwater acoustics [6]. In telecommunications, there are examples of successful synthesis of bi-spectral organized signals for the information encoding in the phase of the third-order spectrum [7] also known as *bi-phase*. As shown further in the paper, the bi-phase can be considered as an inter-component phase estimate.

Considerably less attention has been dedicated to the inter-component phase estimation of natural acoustic signals, e.g. speech and acoustical vibration of rotary machines. For these signals, the instantaneous phase estimation is challenging due to the reasons outlined below.

- Instantaneous phase is wrapped by 2π and requires a phase unwrapping procedure to be applied before processing [8, Chapter 2.3]. The phase unwrapping algorithms are non-trivial for the low SNR environments.
- It is challenging to set a threshold to distinguish between the phase of a clean signal and the noise [9].
- Estimation of instantaneous phase requires prior knowledge of the fundamental frequency (called *pitch* in speech signal processing), which increases computational complexity of processing algorithms [10].
- Some processing techniques (e.g., analysis in higherorder spectra domain) restrict the minimum length

Email address: viv3140gmail.com, ya.dan.kechik@ya.ru, siarhei.barysenka0gmail.com (Vasili I. Vorobiov, Daniil A. Kechik, Siarhei Y. Barysenka)

of signal realization to the value that is greater than the length of speech fragments to be analyzed [11]. In some algorithms, ensemble averaging is required, which vanishes the natural variability of instanta-105 neous parameters of speech fragments [11]. In the meantime, these restrictions can be overcome in signal processing of acoustical vibration of rotary machines [12].

• In early research it has been argued that Fourier phase¹¹⁰ does not contribute to the speech intelligibility, as a result the phase processing has been considered of a low impact on the quality of speech recovered from the noisy observation [13].

55

In past decade, the phase estimation problem has received increased interest among the speech processing researchers [8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In contrast, the phase estimation in acoustical vibration signal processing still remains a largely unexplored topic. In a recent study [19] the authors proposed an algorithm that attenuates unwanted

⁶⁵ components in vibration signal spectrum based on editing of phase spectrum solely, and, to the best of their knowledge, it was the first attempt of phase editing in the field of condition monitoring. As noted by the authors, their method is based on a similar technique earlier proposed
⁷⁰ for speech enhancement.

Motivated by developing direction of phase processing in natural acoustic signal processing applications, and emerging opportunity to leverage the advances of phase processing techniques on a cross-disciplinary level, this pa-

- ⁷⁵ per aims to generalize the research in the field of intercomponent phase signal processing, carried out independently in acoustics and radio frequency signal processing. In addition to that, the experimental part of this paper employs the proposed idea of inter-component phase es-
- timation in the area of condition monitoring of industrial machines. Therefore, the main contribution of this work is twofold: i) introduction and formalization of intercomponent phase estimation concept followed by discussion of related ideas from various research areas, ii) appli-
- cation of proposed inter-component phase estimation concept in the area of condition monitoring of industrial machines, where phase processing is often neglected or has a limited use.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we propose to extend harmonic phase model with parameters describing the relative delays occurred on different frequencies during the quasipolyharmonic signal propagation. In section 3, based on the proposed harmonic phase model, we argue the inter-component phase relations (ICPR) carry the information about parameters of

- tions (ICFR) carry the information about parameters of these relative delays. In this section we introduce the idea of inter-component phase estimation in detail and present the general expression for ICPR estimates, showing their temporal constancy and invariance to the time-frequency
- shifts and fluctuations of the harmonic amplitudes. In section 4 we outline the results of experimental research on

ICPR carried out for synthetic signals, and these results are consistent with the properties discussed in section 3. In section 5 we demonstrate the advances of inter-component phase processing for speech processing applications. In this section, following the discussion of existing applications of inter-component phase estimation in speech processing, we employ the proposed harmonic phase model to discuss why the earlier research on speech structure using higher-order spectra techniques did not reveal the non-linear nature of speech. In section 6 we present novel results on the potential of distinguishing between different configurations of shaft misalignment based on the distribution of ICPR standard deviation. Section 7 draws a conclusion on the work.

2. Signal model

In this paper, the quasipolyharmonic signal model (similar to harmonic model in digital speech processing [9, 17]) is employed to describe the techniques and properties of the inter-component phase processing of acoustic signals. A modeled signal x(t) is represented by a linear combination of quasiharmonic components with the frequencies that are multiples of the fundamental frequency F_0 :

$$x(t) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} x(h,t) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} A_x(h,t) \cos \left(2\pi h F_0 t + \Phi_x(h,t)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{h=1}^{H} A_x(h,t) \cos \Psi_x(h,t),$$
(1)

where H denotes the number of quasiharmonic components, h denotes the index of a component, $A_x(h,t)$ denotes the amplitude of a component, $\Phi_x(h,t)$ denotes the harmonic phase of a component, $\Psi_x(h,t)$ denotes the instantaneous phase of a component. In quasipolyharmonic model, the functions $A_x(h,t)$ and $\Phi_x(h,t)$ are assumed to be slowly varying in time compared to the harmonic frequency hF_0 .

In contrast to harmonic model [9, 17], in quasipolyharmonic model we propose to extend the notion of harmonic phase $\Phi_x(h,t)$ using the following considerations on the receiver side¹. Instantaneous fluctuations $h\Delta f_0(t)$ of harmonic frequency hF_0 , including the time period instability of a component, contribute to the harmonic phase $\Phi_x(h,t)$ of a respective component:

$$\Phi_x(h,t) = 2\pi h \Delta f_0(t) t + h \phi_x + \theta_x(h), \qquad (2)$$

where $h\phi_x$ and $\theta_x(h)$ denote the phase shifts occurred due to the receiver's location in space and configuration of the

¹Definition of receiver may vary depending on the context of particular application. E.g., in sonar it could refer to a point where probing signal is received back; in speech processing it could refer to a point where a microphone is located, etc.

wave propagation medium, respectively². This notation allows to account for time τ_0 the signal travels from source to destination (expressed by $h\phi_x = 2\pi hF_0\tau_0$), which is a common consideration in radar and sonar applications (see e.g. [3, 6]). The phase shift $\theta_x(h)$ is often seen in sonar applications [6] and research of wave propagation in various media [20] as a property of medium where the signal travels. Section 3 employs the proposed harmonic phase model to describe which of the terms of (2) are captured by inter-component phase relations estimates, and which of them appear vanished.

- The choice of the quasipolyharmonic signal model is due to the following reasons:
 - the quasiharmonic components of any combination have their frequencies related to each other as a rational number;
 - it is possible to model the time fluctuations of amplitude $A_x(h,t)$ and phase $\Phi_x(h,t)$ of the natural acoustic signals.

135

150

The mixture of a clean signal x(t) and the noise $\nu(t)$ is modeled as their sum:

$$y(t) = x(t) + \nu(t) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} A_x(h, t) \cos \Psi_x(h, t) + \nu(t)$$

=
$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} A_y(h, t) \cos \Psi_y(h, t) + r(t),$$
 (3)

where $A_y(h,t)$ and $\Psi_y(h,t)$ denote the instantaneous parameters of a component degraded by the noise on frequencies $h(F_0 + \Delta f_0(t))$; and r(t) denotes the residual noise exposure on all frequencies other than $h(F_0 + \Delta f_0(t))$. Estimates of amplitude $\hat{A}_x(h,t)$ and instantaneous phase $\hat{\Psi}_x(h,t)$ are used to obtain the restored signal $\hat{x}(t)$:

$$\hat{x}(t) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \hat{A}_x(h, t) \cos \hat{\Psi}_x(h, t).$$
 (4)

To visually demonstrate the difference between instantaneous, unwrapped instantaneous and harmonic phases of a natural signal, the estimates of instantaneous phase $\hat{\Psi}_x(1,t)$ and harmonic phase $\hat{\Phi}_x(1,t)$ of the quasiharmonic component with index h = 1 obtained from the voiced speech are depicted on Figure 1. Instantaneous phase estimate (Fig. 1a) is calculated from the filtered pitch component using the Hilbert transform. Harmonic phase estimate (Fig. 1c) is obtained by subtracting the linear phase term $2\pi F_0 t$ from the unwrapped instantaneous phase estimate (Fig. 1b). Phase unwrapping is done using the one-dimensional phase unwrapping algorithm [21].

The phase information is not directly accessible due to



Figure 1: Estimates of instantaneous phase $\hat{\Psi}_x(1,t)$ and harmonic phase $\hat{\Phi}_x(1,t)$ of the pitch component obtained from the voiced speech fragment.

wrapped pattern of instantaneous phase $\hat{\Psi}_x(1,t)$ presented on Fig. 1a. After unwrapping, instantaneous phase trajectory depicted on Fig. 1b gets closer to linear. However, it is dominated by the linear phase term $2\pi F_0 t$ determined solely by fundamental frequency estimate, and thus harmonic phase information still remains inaccessible. Removal of linear phase term $2\pi F_0 t$ unveils the harmonic phase trajectory $\hat{\Phi}_x(1,t)$ shown on Fig. 1c, enabling it for processing. Note the range of harmonic phase estimate is significantly lower compared to corresponding unwrapped instantaneous phase estimate, which allows application of smoothing algorithms over time axis to remove unwanted variance of harmonic phase introduced by noise.

3. Estimates of inter-component phase relations and their properties

3.1. Definition

Estimates of inter-component phase relations (ICPR estimates) are calculated as a linear combination of the in-

²Section 4 follows up with the results of experimental research on how the configuration of the wave propagation medium contributes to the phase values $\Phi_x(h, t)$.

stantaneous phase estimates $\hat{\Psi}_x(H(p), t)$:

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p) K(p) \hat{\Psi}_x(H(p), t), \qquad (5a)$$

given
$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)H(p) = 0,$$
 (5b)

where P denotes the number of the estimated instantaneous phase functions considered for the calculation; $K(p) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ denotes the constant multiplier for the instantaneous phase estimate at index p; H(p) denotes the index h of the quasiharmonic component in (4) corresponding to the instantaneous phase estimate at index p; $S(p) \in \{-1, +1\}$ denotes the sign of the K(p) multiplier. The phase unwrapping procedure should be taken 175 on $\hat{\Psi}_x(H(p), t)$ prior calculation.

Conceptually, the ICPR estimates can be considered as a generalization of phase shift estimates to the case of *arbitrary* number of multiple or rational frequency harmonic components. The series in (5b) ensures the linear phase terms are cancelled (see Figure 1 and the follow-up discussion) and only harmonic phases are accounted for calculation.

To explain the idea in detail, let us step back and consider conventional phase shift measurement approach, which is carried out for two waves of the *same frequency*. In such an approach, the measured phase shift is determined by the fraction of a wave period, corresponding to the time delay between two waves, followed by scaling to 2π

- ¹⁹⁰ Next, if we consider two waves of the *distinct frequencies*, where one frequency is a *multiple* of another (e.g. $f_1 = 2$ kHz and $f_2 = 4$ kHz), the phase shift between these waves may be defined as a time delay of a high-frequency wave in relation to a low-frequency wave, mea-
- ¹⁹⁵ sured in fractions of a period of a low-frequency wave. This measurement approach can be carried out by dividing the instantaneous phase of a high-frequency wave by an integer number (thus translating this wave to the low-frequency wave) and subtracting the result from the instantaneous phase of the low-frequency wave. The linear phase term $2\pi h F_0 t$ is cancelled, and measurement result is determined

solely by harmonic phases. Further, if two waves of the *distinct frequencies* have the frequency ratio expressed by a *rational* number (e.g. $f_1 = 2$ kHz and $f_2 = 3$ kHz), the phase shift measurement²²⁰ approach is the same as above with the only distinction of division by a rational number instead of an integer number.

In extreme case of an arbitrary number of waves with ²¹⁰ multiple or rational frequency relations, the phase shift²²⁵ measurement approach falls into finding the proper multipliers for instantaneous phases of all waves to achieve cancelling of linear phase terms, followed by adding or subtracting the scaled instantaneous phases.

To illustrate the above concepts in terms of equations²³⁰

(5a), (5b) let us consider some examples below:

• ICPR of two components P = 2 where one frequency is a multiple of another. Let the fundamental frequency be $F_0 = 1$ kHz, the frequency of component with index p = 1 be 2 kHz, and the frequency of another component with index p = 2 be 4 kHz. Then, the indices H(p) of these components in a quasipolyharmonic signal (4) with $F_0 = 1$ kHz are H(1) = 2, H(2) = 4. To ensure the equality to zero of the series in (5b), we define the multipliers K(p) to be K(1) = 1, K(2) = H(1)/H(2) = 1/2 and their signs S(p) to be S(1) = +1, S(2) = -1. The final expression for ICPR estimate is then given by

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \hat{\Psi}_x(2,t) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_x(4,t) = \hat{\Phi}_x(2,t) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Phi}_x(4,t).$$

• ICPR of three components P = 3 where frequency ratios are expressed by rational numbers. Let the fundamental frequency be $F_0 = 1$ kHz, the frequencies of components with indices p = 1, p = 2 and p = 3 be 1 kHz, 2 kHz and 3 kHz, respectively. Then, the indices H(p) of these components in a quasipolyharmonic signal (4) with $F_0 = 1$ kHz are H(1) = 1, H(2) = 2, H(3) = 3. To ensure the equality to zero of the series in (5b), we define the multipliers K(p) to be K(1) = 1/2, K(2) = 1, K(3) = 1/2 and their signs S(p) to be S(1) = +1, S(2) = -1, S(3) = +1. The final expression for ICPR estimate is then given by

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_x(1,t) - \hat{\Psi}_x(2,t) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_x(3,t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Phi}_x(1,t) - \hat{\Phi}_x(2,t) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Phi}_x(3,t).$$

Alternatively, if the multipliers K(p) are defined as K(1) = K(2) = K(3) = 1 and their signs S(p) are S(1) = +1, S(2) = +1, S(3) = -1, the series in (5b) also turns to zero, defining another valid ICPR of three components:

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \hat{\Psi}_x(1,t) + \hat{\Psi}_x(2,t) - \hat{\Psi}_x(3,t) = \hat{\Phi}_x(1,t) + \hat{\Phi}_x(2,t) - \hat{\Phi}_x(3,t).$$

The best choice between alternative ICPR configurations for the given number of components P usually depends on the needs of particular application and selected performance evaluation criteria. For instance, in our earlier work on ICPR-based speech enhancement [22], the accuracy of reconstructed harmonic phase of speech signal components depends on accuracy of ICPR estimation. Due to the fact that quasiharmonic components undergo different level of distortion in non-stationary noise environment, the noise impact can be partially mitigated by selecting an ICPR configuration which operates on the components deteriorated by noise to a lesser degree.

The ICPR estimates from the examples above are discussed in Section 4 in the context of prior research.

3.2. Properties

240

245

250

255

In order to describe the properties of ICPR estimates,²⁶⁰ let us formulate the expression for the instantaneous phase $\Psi_x(h,t)$ considering the equations (1) and (2):

$$\Psi_x(h,t) = 2\pi h(F_0 + \Delta f_0(t))t + h\phi_x + \theta_x(h).$$
(6)

Let us assume the SNR level is high enough, such that $\hat{\Psi}_x(h,t) \to \Psi_x(h,t)$. Substitution of the equation (6) into (5a) gives the following expression for the ICPR estimate:

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)\hat{\Psi}_{x}(H(p), t) \qquad ^{270}$$

$$= 2\pi(F_{0} + \Delta f_{0}(t))t\sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)H(p) \qquad (7)$$

$$+ \phi_{x}\sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)H(p) \qquad (7)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)\theta_{x}(H(p)) = const,$$

since the multiplier $\sum_{p=1}^{P} S(p)K(p)H(p)$ evaluates to zero according to the condition in (5b).

The expression (7) allows to formulate the following properties of ICPR estimates assuming the high SNR levels:

- Temporal constancy assuming the phase shifts $\theta_x(h)$ occurred due to the configuration of the wave propagation medium are time independent. ICPR estimates are zero in a medium without dispersion, where $\theta_x(h) = 0$.
- Time-shift independence [2, 23] (cancellation of the phase shift $h\phi_x$). ICPR estimates are independent to the initial phase, or the point in time where the signal originates from, unlike the instantaneous phase estimates that require prior knowledge of the initial phase.
- Frequency-shift independence [23] (cancellation of $F_0 + \Delta f_0(t)$). ICPR estimates are not affected by the fundamental frequency fluctuations and the frequency instability of analog-to-digital converters. Cancellation of the linear phase term $2\pi F_0 t$ reduces the dynamic range of the estimates.
- Independence to the instantaneous amplitude fluctuations [24] appeared due to the fluctuations of a measuring instrument frequency response. This property is ensured by the absence of $A_x(h, t)$ in the equations (5a) and (7). At the same time, the level

of the instantaneous amplitudes must be above the threshold that ensures the reliable harmonic tracking for the instantaneous phase estimation.

Aforementioned properties allow to estimate ICPR for the non-stationary signals and reduce the environmental effects on the final results [23, 24]. The precision is gained due to the relative nature of the measurements and the cancellation of the interfering environmental components. ICPR estimates convey the information about the configuration of the wave propagation medium defined by the relative delays occurred on different frequencies due to the dispersion of the medium [2], reflections [20] and multipath propagation [6].

4. Inter-component phase relations of synthetic signals

4.1. Phase invariant of the modulated oscillation

In this section we consider basic signals with amplitude and single-tone angle modulation, derive their ICPR expressions between harmonic phases of carrier and modulating components, discuss the derivations and relate them to results of past experiments carried out for modulated signals [1, 2, 23, 20]. Oscillations with amplitude and single-tone angle modulation may be represented by the quasipolyharmonic signal model (1) in case if the harmonic amplitudes are identically zero $A_x(h,t) = 0$ for $h \notin [H_{\min}, H_{\max}]^3$, and the harmonic phases $\Phi_x(h, t)$ expressed as follows for amplitude modulated signal:

$$\Phi_x^{\rm AM}(h,t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_c - \Phi_m(h) & \text{if } h < H_c, \\ \Phi_c & \text{if } h = H_c, \\ \Phi_c + \Phi_m(h) & \text{if } h > H_c, \end{cases}$$
(8)

and for single-tone angle modulated signal:

$$\Phi_x^{\rm EM}(h,t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_c - (H_c - h)\Phi_m + (H_c - h)\pi & \text{if } h < H_c, \\ \Phi_c & \text{if } h = H_c, \\ \Phi_c + (h - H_c)\Phi_m & \text{if } h > H_c, \end{cases}$$
(9)

where Φ_c and $\Phi_m(h)$ denote the harmonic phase of the carrier signal and the modulating signal components respectively, H_{\min} and H_{\max} denote the indices of the components with the lowest and the highest frequencies in the spectrum of the modulated signal, $H_c = \frac{1}{2}(H_{\min} + H_{\max})$ denotes the index of the carrier component, $h \in [H_{\min}, H_{\max}] \subseteq [1, H]$. For derivations of $\Phi_x^{AM}(h, t)$ and $\Phi_x^{EM}(h, t)$, see Appendix A.

³It is important to note the harmonic amplitudes $A_x(h, t)$ may be identically zero for some indices $h \in [H_{\min}, H_{\max}]$ if the corresponding components are absent in the spectrum of the modulated signal.

Let's consider an ICPR estimate (5a), where functions S(p) and K(p) are defined as follows:

$$S(p) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } H(p) \neq H_c, \\ -1 & \text{if } H(p) = H_c; \end{cases}$$
(10)

$$K(p) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } H(p) \neq H_c, \\ \frac{1}{2\Delta H} (H_{\max} - H_{\min}) & \text{if } H(p) = H_c, \end{cases}$$
(11)

where $\Delta H = H_c - H_{\text{lower}} = H_{\text{upper}} - H_c$, defining H_{lower} and H_{upper} as the indices of the non-zero amplitude components closest to H_c in the lower and the upper spectrum bands of the modulated signal.

For simplicity, we consider the spectrum of the modulated signal consists of three components with indices H_{lower} , H_c and H_{upper} . In this scenario, $H_{\min} =$ H_{lower} , $H_{\max} = H_{\text{upper}}$, $2\Delta H = H_{\text{upper}} - H_{\text{lower}}$, and finally, K(p) = 1 for $H(p) = H_c$ in (11). Then, ICPR estimate is defined as follows:

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \frac{\hat{\Psi}_x(H_{\text{lower}}, t) + \hat{\Psi}_x(H_{\text{upper}}, t)}{2} - \hat{\Psi}_x(H_c, t). \quad (12)$$

The expression (12) has all the properties of ICPR es-^{2t} timates described in the section 3. If the phase functions $\Phi_c(t)$ and $\Phi_m(h,t)$ defined in the form of (2), the expression (12) transforms to the following:

275

• for the amplitude modulated signal with harmonic phases $\Phi_x^{\text{AM}}(h, t)$ defined by (8)

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \frac{\theta_x(H_{\text{lower}}) + \theta_x(H_{\text{upper}})}{2} - \theta_x(H_c) = const;$$
(13)

• for the single-tone angle modulated signal with harmonic phases $\Phi_x^{\text{EM}}(h,t)$ defined by (9)

$$\hat{\Theta}(t) = \frac{\theta_x(H_{\text{lower}}) + \theta_x(H_{\text{upper}}) + \pi}{2} - \theta_x(H_c) = const.$$
(14)

As follows from the expressions (13) and (14), ICPR estimates of the form (12) carry the information not only about the parameters of the wave propagation medium, but also about the modulation method (note $+\pi$ term in expression (14) for the single-tone angle modulated signal).

The results obtained during the modulated wave propa-285 gation experiments are consistent with the properties described above. In 1953, V. A. Zverev proposed a method of elastic wave dispersion measurement based on the effect of the modulation method change during the wave propagation in the dispersing medium [1, 2]. Zverev introduced₂₉₀ the notion of *phase invariant* of the modulated oscillation, which corresponds to the negative ICPR estimate defined by equation (12). The value of the phase invariant is independent to the time reference point for any carrier

frequency. It was noted the value of the phase invariant changes during the propagation of the modulated wave in the dispersive medium due to the additional phase shift appearing for the different components. The modulation method alternately changes from the amplitude modulation to the phase modulation. The proposed method of measurement features gained precision compared to the achievable precision of the previously developed methods due to the relative nature of the measurements.

Further, the phase invariant notion stands for the following ICPR estimate

$$PI(H_1, H_2, H_3, t) = \frac{\hat{\Psi}(H_1, t) + \hat{\Psi}(H_3, t)}{2} - \hat{\Psi}(H_2, t),$$
(15)

calculated for three components from signal model (4) with frequencies $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$, where the following condition holds:

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = H_1 F_0, & \text{where } H_1 = 1, 2, \dots \\ f_2 = H_2 F_0, & \text{where } H_2 = H_1 + 1, H_1 + 2, \dots \\ f_3 = H_3 F_0, & \text{where } H_3 = 2H_2 - H_1. \end{cases}$$
(16)

The applications of the phase invariant estimates include the modelling of the phase-dependent processes during the acoustic waves propagation in a nonlinear medium without dispersion [20], and the research of the EHF radiofrequency path properties [23].

4.2. Phase quasi-invariant

Methods for phase difference estimation of two multiple frequency harmonic components with frequencies $\{f_0, Hf_0\}$ (where $H \in \mathbb{N}, H \neq 1$) have been widely used in radar-based object recognition systems [3, 4, 5]. Subsequently, these methods have been applied for the phase estimation of multiple frequency signals in underwater acoustics [6]. For ultra wide band digital signal processing, theoretical generalization of inter-component phase estimation methods for a pair of quasiharmonic components $\{H_1f_0, H_2f_0\}$ (where $H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{N}, H_1 < H_2$) was introduced in [25]. One of the estimates proposed there was later called *phase quasi-invariant* [26].

A phase quasi-invariant estimate is defined by the following expression

$$PQI(H_1, H_2, t) = \hat{\Psi}(H_1, t) - \frac{\hat{\Psi}(H_2, t) \cdot H_1}{H_2}, \qquad (17)$$

where H_1F_0 and H_2F_0 (given $H_1 < H_2$) denote the frequencies of two components from signal model (4). All the properties of ICPR estimates described in the section 3 hold for the expression (17). Due to scaling factor H_1/H_2 at the second term of expression (17), existing works on phase quasi-invariant [26, 22] define the notion of unambiguous definition range of $PQI(H_1, H_2, t)$. For practical applications it means the phase quasi-invariant has to be computed over the modulo $2\pi \frac{H_1}{H_2}$.

The phase quasi-invariant estimate was employed in

performance evaluation of radar-based object recognition 295 systems under the multipath propagation conditions [5]. In sonar experiments with dualpath propagation, it was shown the value of phase quasi-invariant can change only abruptly if mutual position of source and destination330 points changes [6].

4.3. Phase of higher-order spectra

The theory of higher-order spectra has been studied since 1960s pioneering in mathematical statistics [27]. The³³⁵ most beneficial features of this theory for signal processing applications were outlined in [28]:

305

315

- suppression of Gaussian noise and the noise with symmetric probability density function in signal parameters estimation, signal classification and signal detection applications; 340
- magnitude and phase response recovery of signals and 310 systems due to availability of phase information in the third-order spectra and higher;
 - quadratic phase coupling detection as an indicator of non-linear processes occurred during signal generation.

Some definitions from the higher-order spectra theory necessary for the further context are outlined below.

A third-order spectrum, or *bi-spectrum*, for a finite energy real deterministic signal x(n) defined as follows [28]:

$$M_3^x(\omega_1, \omega_2) = X(\omega_1) X(\omega_2) X^*(\omega_1 + \omega_2), \qquad (18)$$

where $X(\omega)$ and $X^*(\omega)$ denote the Fourier transform of x(n) and its complex conjugation, respectively.

The *bi-phase* is defined as the phase of bi-spectrum (18) $\angle M_3^x(\omega_1,\omega_2)$. In the following, the bi-phase is considered for a harmonically related triplet of components with frequencies:

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = H_1 F_0, & \text{where } H_1 = 1, 2, \dots \\ f_2 = H_2 F_0, & \text{where } H_2 = H_1 + 1, H_1 + 2, \dots \\ f_3 = H_3 F_0, & \text{where } H_3 = H_1 + H_2. \end{cases}$$
(19)

The bi-phase estimate for a triplet (19) from model (4) is given by:

$$BiPh(H_1, H_2, H_3, t) = \hat{\Psi}(H_1, t) + \hat{\Psi}(H_2, t) - \hat{\Psi}(H_3, t)$$
(20)

and has all the properties of ICPR estimates described in the section 3.

The methods of synthesis of bi-spectral organized signals for information encoding, transmission and decoding applications have shown robust performance for signals with amplitude and phase distortion occurred due to multipath propagation [7]. Bi-spectrum signal processing methods were applied for solving the inverse non-linear acoustics problem of reconstructing the initial signal spectrum using the measured spectral and bi-spectral characteristics 325

of the received signal on short tracks [29].

Having bi-phase evaluated to zero for harmonically related triplet serves as an indicator of quadratic phase coupling if the magnitude of bi-spectrum evaluates to nonzero [30]. According to (7), ICPR estimates convey the information about the configuration of the wave propagation medium, hence the bi-phase estimate is not identically zero in a dispersive medium. In this case, the approach of quadratic phase coupling detection proposed in [30] may not indicate the non-linear effects occurred during the process of signal generation⁴.

5. Inter-component phase relations of speech signals

5.1. Estimation of inter-component phase relations in voiced speech

The research of phase structure of voiced speech introduced the estimates of relative phase shift, RPS [31] and phase distortion, PD [16] in speech signal processing.

Originally, RPS was introduced as a representation of the phase information in harmonic speech models [31]:

$$RPS(h,t) = \hat{\Psi}(h,t) - h\hat{\Psi}(1,t), \qquad (21)$$

noting their temporal constancy and cancellation of linear phase shift. The follow-up studies have evaluated the RPS performance for synthetic speech detection in speaker verification systems [32].

In the work [16] dedicated to explore various phase representations for voice production modelling, it was noted the variance of RPS estimate (21) increases towards high frequencies because of increased index h in the term $h\hat{\Psi}(1,t)$, which makes RPS representation not convenient for directly characterizing the source shape in mid and high frequencies. To overcome this limitation, PD estimate was proposed, which doesn't have aforementioned issue:

$$PD(h,t) = RPS(h+1,t) - RPS(h,t)$$

= $\hat{\Psi}(h+1,t) - \hat{\Psi}(h,t) - \hat{\Psi}(1,t).$ (22)

As shown in our earlier works [33, 22], the RPS and PD estimates represent special cases of phase quasi-invariant and bi-phase estimates, respectively:

$$PQI(1,h,t) = -\frac{RPS(h,t)}{h},$$
(23)

$$BiPh(1, h, h+1, t) = -PD(h, t).$$
 (24)

It was also noted in [33, 22] the RPS defines the instantaneous phase relation between the fundamental frequency component $H_1 = 1$ and its higher harmonics, whereas the

⁴The topic of non-linear effects occurring in the speech signal generation process is discussed in section 5.3.



Figure 2: ICPR estimates for a voiced speech fragment. Female speaker uttering sustained sequence of vowels */aeiou/*.

phase quasi-invariant doesn't limit H_1 to any specific har-400 monic number.

345

Figure 2 depicts the spectrogram (Figure 2a) and ICPR estimates—PQI(2,4,t) (Figure 2b), BiPh(1,3,4,t) (Figure 2c) and PI(3,4,5,t) (Figure 2d)—of sustained sequence of vowels /*aeiou*/ uttered by female speaker. The₄₀₅ instantaneous phase estimates $\hat{\Psi}_{T}(h,t)$ were obtained by

- instantaneous phase estimates $\Psi_x(h, t)$ were obtained by applying Hilbert transform to the quasiharmonic components filtered on frequencies corresponding to harmonic numbers $h \in [1, 5]$. The fundamental frequency estimate was obtained using Halcyon algorithm [34].
- It can be seen from Figure 2 the ICPR estimates are close to constant or exhibit low variance on the whole length of a single vowel (for example, see the fragment 2–3.5 seconds representing /io/ vowels on Figure 2b–d).
 - Fluctuations of ICPR estimates are caused by the filter-415 ing procedure affected by harmonics magnitude. For example, on Figure 2b–d the component h = 4 has low level

of magnitude for the fragments corresponding to vowels /i/ (interval of 2–3 seconds) and /u/ (interval of 3.75–4.25 seconds), which leads to aforementioned fluctuations on the corresponding time intervals.

The ICPR estimates change abruptly on transitions from one vowel to another (for example, see PQI(2, 4, t)and PI(3, 4, 5, t) on Figure 2b and 2d in the middle of 2– 3.5 seconds interval) even though instantaneous phase of corresponding harmonics are continuous and have no gaps. This fact indicates the phase-frequency response of the vocal tract changes on transitions from one vowel to another during articulation.

The results of various research show the ICPR estimates carry the information about the voice features of a speaker, and therefore applicable in automatic speaker recognition systems [35, 36, 37].

5.2. Speech enhancement

In past decade, research of phase-aware speech processing methods for speech enhancement applications has received increased interest due to the reconsidered vision on how phase impacts the perception of speech [8, Chapter 4], [9]. Modification of phase spectrum alone, without processing of magnitude part of the spectrum, was shown to improve quality and intelligibility of speech degraded by noise.

In our earlier works [33, 22] it is assumed that joint estimation of the phase of several components can increase the accuracy of the phase estimation of individual components, thus improving the quality of noisy speech. In these works the noise reduction algorithms were proposed that employ temporal smoothing on phase invariant, phase quasi-invariant, and bi-phase estimates in voiced speech fragments to reduce the variance of these estimates introduced by noise. The instantaneous phase of components used during phase enhanced speech synthesis are calculated based on the smoothed inter-component phase relations estimates. A similar approach based on smoothing of PD estimates (22), (24) was proposed in [38].

The performance of algorithms proposed in [33, 22] was evaluated using various metrics: perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [39], short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) [40] and unwrapped root mean square estimation error (UnRMSE) [41]. The proposed algorithms showed improved perceived quality, speech intelligibility and phase estimation accuracy in most of considered noise scenarios, including non-stationary noise. In some noise scenarios these algorithms improved quality and intelligibility jointly, which is difficult to achieve for majority of conventional speech enhancement algorithms [42].

Figure 3 depicts the spectrograms of a clean speech (Figure 3a), noisy speech (Figure 3b) and enhanced by PQI $\bar{h} = 2$ algorithm [33, 22] speech (Fig 3c). Enhanced speech spectrogram shows decrease in the noise impact in the lower frequency band, contributing to restored harmonic structure. Other speech records processed by algorithms [33, 22] available in [43].



Figure 3: Speech enhancement by PQI $\bar{h} = 2$ algorithm proposed in [33, 22]. Clean speech — utterance She had your dark suit in greasy wash water all year from TIMIT speech database [44]. Noise470 signal — babble noise from NOIZEUS database [45]. SNR = 5 dB. Ordinate — hertz

5.3. Quadratic phase coupling of components in speech production

- ⁴²⁰ Detection of non-linear coupling of speech signal components is a relevant task in speech production fundamentals⁴⁷⁵ research, and analysis in the higher-order spectra domain is recognized as a conventional technique of detecting the non-linearities in data (see Section 4.3).
- The works [46, 47] summarized the features of voiced speech discoverable by means of bi-spectral processing in₄₈₀ laryngeal pathology detection and speaker recognition applications. In these works, authors focused on detection of shape asymmetry of pulse train excited by vocal cords,
 which is considered as a symptom of pathology.
 - Some results on employing bi-spectral processing for en-485 hancement of speech degraded by Gaussian noise were presented in [48], where authors report improved speech quality for SNR levels not exceeding 6 dB. Other works
- ⁴³⁵ [49, 50, 51, 52] unveiled the benefits of bi-spectral processing for voiced/unvoiced decision, speech reconstruction⁴⁹⁰ from noisy observation and speaker identification.

The work [11], dedicated to explore the non-linearities in speech signals by means of bi-spectrum, admitted the results of quadratic coupling detection presented in earlier works [49, 47] had been misinterpreted by their au-495 thors. In particular, function arguments maximizing the bi-spectrum magnitude are interpreted in [49, 47] as frequencies of components produced by quadratic coupling, however, the test for zero bi-phase at these frequencies is not attempted. This interpretation (without attempting zero bi-phase test) is valid only if phase is uniformly distributed on $[-\pi, \pi)$ interval. It is known the uniform distribution of phase does not hold for voiced speech [53], therefore, zero bi-phase test is required to reliably detect the quadratic coupling case [11, 30]. The results reported in [11] took zero bi-phase test into consideration, however, did not identify voiced speech to exhibit quadratic phase coupling of components. Therefore it was stated the non-linear effects does not occur in voiced speech production, unlike other studies that assert the opposite (e.g. [54]).

The fact of non-zero bi-phase estimate of voiced speech is consistent with the proposed harmonic phase model $\Phi_x(h,t)$ (2) that takes into account configuration of the wave propagation medium, and with the bi-phase estimate of a voiced speech fragment depicted in Figure 2c. Configuration of speech propagation medium defined by physical properties of vocal tract adds additional phase shifts, contributing to final bi-phase value according to (7). In other words, even if voiced speech is a non-linear product of individual components, the propagation of resulting wave in the vocal tract contributes with additional delays, captured by bi-phase. As a result, the value of bi-phase may differ from zero, obscuring the non-linear nature of voiced speech that may occur on earlier stages of speech production.

6. Inter-component phase relations of vibration signals

6.1. Motivation

Defect development monitoring of rotary machines is based on analysis of their acoustical vibration. A rotary machine unit generates vibration excited by periodical forces arising from mass imbalance, shock processes and unit geometry tolerance. Oscillations caused by these forces produced on the fundamental frequency and its multiples due to non-linear and parametric effects arising during state degradation of machine, allowing to model the vibration signal using (1) for components with frequencies up to 1–2 kHz [55].

Conventional approach for condition monitoring aims to extract features from the frequency domain representation of vibration signal. Faults are detected by observing the relations between magnitudes of fundamental frequency components (representing, for example, shaft rotation frequency, or ball spin frequency of a rolling bearing, etc.) and its multiples [56]. Some defects, e.g. shaft imbalance and shaft misalignment, produce components at the same frequencies, interfering with the ones generated by normal machine operation. In these scenarios trending of parameters over time is required to resolve ambiguity, bringing

9



Figure 4: Standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1,2,t)]$ vs. SNR obtained on rolling bearings data in early experiments on ICPR estimates carried out in [24]. SNR levels for majority of data are ranging from⁵⁴⁰ -5 dB to 10 dB. Identification of each state—normal, running-in and defective—is arguably challenging due to high variance of standard deviation values

analysis to the time and spatial domains. Thus, estimation⁵⁴⁵ of ICPR may provide additional information to improve reliability of condition monitoring techniques.

In our earlier work [24] the ICPR estimates of rolling bearings vibration data were analyzed. The span of ICPR trajectories was observed in the wide interval of $[-\pi, \pi)$, which does not meet the expectation (7). The standard deviation of ICPR estimates showed some correspondence to the defective bearing states, but it was not reliable in

⁵⁰⁵ general due to high variance of ICPR estimates. At that moment, theoretical explanation of these observations had not been found. In retrospective, we assume the reason₅₅₅ of high variance is the low SNR⁵ level of analyzed data (ranging from -5 dB to 10 dB), preventing to perform the reliable phase estimation.

In the next sections, we examine the potential of employing the ICPR estimates for shaft parallel misalignment monitoring. The experiments are carried out on the origi-⁵⁶⁰ nal dataset of vibration signals representing different shaft ⁵¹⁵ misalignment configurations [57]. We address the following questions:

- How does SNR affect temporal constancy of ICPR estimates? Does this property hold for vibration signals in general for high SNR levels greater than 10 dB?
- How does the standard deviation of ICPR estimates distribute between normal and defective state of

equipment? Is there a potential to distinguish between different operating conditions using the distribution of standard deviation?

To further discuss the difference in the results obtained in [24] compared to the new results presented in Sections 6.3–6.4, we introduce the Figure 4 which summarizes the results of earlier experiments recalculated following the algorithm described in Section 6.2.

6.2. Shaft misalignment experiment: Setup and methods

The original shaft parallel misalignment dataset [57] used in this work consists of 140 fragments of vibration acceleration data recorded at the test stand. The test stand has an elastic support (unlike the majority of industrial machines) and a shaft imbalance. Two shafts are joined by the flexible jaw coupling. Each recorded fragment consists of 215 722 samples of vibration acceleration data sampled at $F_s = 25597$ Hz, which amounts to approximately 8.43 seconds of data per fragment. The dataset description is given in Table 1.

Estimation of SNR and standard deviation of ICPR estimates is performed using the following procedure⁶:

- Original acceleration signals are processed using numerical integration and double-integration to obtain velocity and displacement signals, respectively. Vibration velocity and displacement signals are considered to better suit for analysis of low frequency components.
- Shaft rotation frequency $F_0 \approx 24.68$ Hz is refined using spectral interference method [59, 60] for each data set record.
- Three harmonics of shaft rotation frequency are extracted using Fourier filtration to further calculate their instantaneous phases. The filter bandwidth of 2 Hz is selected to decrease the effect of spectral leakage and maximize harmonic SNR levels.
- Instantaneous phases of selected components are obtained using Hilbert transform. PQI(1,2,t), PQI(1,3,t) and their standard deviation are computed⁷.
- SNR level of each component is estimated assuming the ideal harmonics-in-noise model: the noise power density is considered to be uniformly distributed, and harmonics are represented by weighted Dirac deltas.

⁵Throughout this section, the SNR denotes the lowest harmonic SNR value among the components included in particular ICPR estimate. Section 6.2 presents more information on SNR estimation technique employed throughout the experiments.

⁶The implementation of some algorithms (except proprietary solutions) is available for download [58].

⁷First and last 5% of PQI(1,2,t) and PQI(1,3,t) samples were excluded from standard deviation computation. This is to avoid the influence of artifacts produced by Gibbs phenomenon on the final statistics demonstrated in the next sections. In the meantime, we have considered using the full length data for standard deviation computation (not presented in this paper): no notable impact on the final statistics has been observed.

Sectoration data sampled at $T_s = 20007$ mz, which amounts to approximately 0.40 seconds of data per magnetic.			
Misalignment type	Count	Axis	File names
No misalignment	20	Horizontal	Misalignment_norm/1.mat20.mat
0.5 mm horizontal	24	Horizontal	Misalignment_horz_parall/1.mat24.mat
1.15 mm horizontal	24	Horizontal	Misalignment_horz_parall/25.mat48.mat
0.34 mm vertical	24	Vertical	Misalignment_vert_parall/1.mat24.mat
0.8 mm vertical	24	Vertical	Misalignment_vert_parall/25.mat48.mat
1.09 mm vertical	24	Vertical	Misalignment_vert_parall/49.mat72.mat

615

Table 1: Description of original shaft parallel misalignment dataset [57] used in this work. Each recorded fragment consists of 215 722 samples of vibration acceleration data sampled at $F_s = 25597$ Hz, which amounts to approximately 8.43 seconds of data per fragment.

Estimated average of the noise power is then subtracted from the estimated power of a harmonic (both₆₁₀ expressed in decibels) to determine the harmonic SNR value. The lowest harmonic SNR value among the components included in particular ICPR estimate is considered as its SNR.

6.3. Shaft misalignment experiment: No misalignment vs. horizontal parallel misalignment

In this section, we analyze the vibration data from "No misalignment", "0.5 mm horizontal" and "1.15 mm hori-₆₂₀ zontal" buckets of the data set described in Table 1.

Figure 5 depicts the magnitude spectra of individual vibration velocity signals from each bucket and corresponding PQI(1,2,t) estimates. For "No misalignment" condition (Figure 5a) the magnitude of the second harmonic of shaft rotation frequency ($2F_0 = 49.36$ Hz) is lower than the one for other defective conditions (Figure 5b and Fig-625 ure 5c), which is considered as a defect indicator in conventional condition monitoring approach. The PQI(1,2,t)trajectory for "No misalignment" condition (Figure 5a)

spans over the wider range of values (the span exceeds 0.6 rad) compared to the range of PQI(1, 2, t) trajectories for other defective conditions (Figure 5b and Figure 5c), where the span does not exceed 0.11 rad for each trajectory.

Figure 6 presents the overall statistics for selected buckets of data. Left panel of Figure 6 depicts the standard de-635 viation $\sigma[PQI(1,2,t)]$ of computed PQI(1,2,t) estimates vs. the corresponding SNR levels. It can be observed "No misalignment" data exhibit higher standard deviation levels compared to those from "0.5 mm horizontal" and "1.15 mm horizontal" buckets. It is possible to vi-640 sually distinguish two groups of points corresponding to presence or absence of parallel misalignment in the data despite the SNR variability. The probability density functions of $\sigma[PQI(1,2,t)]$ depicted on the right panel of Figure 6 show the probability of standard deviation values to645

be less than 0.05 rad is 0.75 and 0.83 for "0.5 mm horizontal" and "1.15 mm horizontal" buckets, respectively, whereas for "No misalignment" data the probability of standard deviation values to fall into this range is 0.

Aforementioned results obtained for "No misalignment",⁶⁵⁰ "0.5 mm horizontal" and "1.15 mm horizontal" buckets of data are consistent with the proposed expectation (7) for ICPR estimates to exhibit low variance for high SNR levels, in contrast to high variance obtained in earlier experiments for lower SNR levels (Fig. 4). For the given data set, distribution of the standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1, 2, t)]$ justifies the ability of proposed method to distinguish between presence or absence of parallel misalignment. The explanation of this fact is that the lower SNR levels of the second harmonic contribute to degraded harmonic phase estimation accuracy, resulting in higher variance of PQI(1, 2, t). The absence of parallel shaft misalignment is reflected in a lower SNR level of the second harmonic, contributing to the higher variance of PQI(1, 2, t) compared to the one obtained in the presence of misalignment.

6.4. Shaft misalignment experiment: various configurations of vertical parallel misalignment

In this section, we analyze the vibration data from "0.34 mm vertical", "0.8 mm vertical" and "1.09 mm vertical" buckets of the data set described in Table 1.

Figure 7 depicts the magnitude spectra of individual vibration displacement signals from each bucket and corresponding PQI(1,3,t) estimates. The ICPR between the component on the shaft rotation frequency and its third multiple (captured by PQI(1,3,t)) is considered for this experiment as the increased level of the third harmonic magnitude is a feature of defective condition according to conventional condition monitoring approach. The magnitude of the third harmonic $(3F_0 = 74.04 \text{ Hz})$ is either approaching to (Figure 7a) or greater than (Figure 7b and Figure 7c) the magnitude of the shaft rotation frequency component ($F_0 = 24.68 \text{ Hz}$). The PQI(1,3,t)trajectories of these individual signals span over different ranges for various misalignment configurations, however, their span vary in the ranges that are close to each other.

Figure 8 presents the overall statistics for selected buckets of data. Left panel of Figure 8 depicts the standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1,3,t)]$ of computed PQI(1,3,t)estimates vs. the corresponding SNR levels. In the whole, the standard deviation of the PQI(1,3,t) estimates for each bucket does not exceed 0.07 rad. An important observation is that the data from "0.8 mm vertical" and "1.09 mm vertical" buckets is grouped in the separate areas, which creates an opportunity to distinguish between various misalignment configurations using the distribution



c — 1.15mm parallel misalignment

Figure 5: Magnitude spectrum and PQI(1, 2, t) estimates of vibration velocity signal (horizontal direction) for various shaft misalignment configurations. PQI(1, 2, t) calculated for components at shaft rotation frequency $F_0 = 24.68$ Hz and its multiple $2F_0 = 49.36$ Hz.



Figure 6: Left panel — standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1,2,t)]$ vs. SNR (horizontal direction) for various shaft misalignment configurations. Right panel — probability density function of $\sigma[PQI(1,2,t)]$ corresponding to the same data points depicted in left panel.



c — 1.09 mm parallel misalignment

Figure 7: Magnitude spectrum and PQI(1,3,t) estimates of vibration displacement signal (vertical direction) for various shaft misalignment configurations. PQI(1,3,t) calculated for components at shaft rotation frequency $F_0 = 24.68$ Hz and its multiple $3F_0 = 74.04$ Hz.



Figure 8: Left panel — standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1,3,t)]$ vs. SNR (vertical direction) for various shaft misalignment configurations. Right panel — probability density function of $\sigma[PQI(1,3,t)]$ corresponding to the same data points depicted in left panel.

655

of the standard deviation of ICPR. The peaks of the distributions for "0.8 mm vertical" and "1.09 mm vertical" buckets are located in different bins, which is depicted on the right panel of Figure 8. The distribution for "0.34 mm_{710} vertical" bucket overlaps with the distributions for other

buckets, thus making this bucket indistinguishable from others by means of PQI(1,3,t) distribution.

- ⁶⁶⁰ The fact of different distributions of the standard deviation $\sigma[PQI(1,3,t)]$ obtained for various misalign-715 ment configurations could be explained by the impact of non-synchronous vibration components (such as additive harmonic noise) or intermodulation that modify harmonic phases of considered components due to non-linear effects
- arising under different misalignment configurations.⁷²⁰ In this case, according to the proposed harmonic phase model (2), the $\theta_x(h)$ parameter may change independently on different frequencies, contributing to the difference of values of ICPR estimates (7).

6.5. Discussion

6.5.1. Impact of SNR on temporal constancy of intercomponent phase relations estimates

The results of experiments carried out in sections 6.3 and 6.4 are obtained for the data featuring the high SNR_{730} levels ranging from 8 dB to 28 dB. Among 140 data points representing standard deviation values of PQI(1, 2, t) and PQI(1, 3, t), more than 90% of points have standard devi-

ation less than 0.1 rad, whereas remaining less than 10% of points have standard deviation not exceeding 0.4 rad.⁷³⁵ In contrast, in our previous work [24] we analyzed vibration signals with lower SNR levels ranging from -5 dB to 10 dB, where we obtained higher levels of standard deviation of ICPR (see Figure 4): among 110 signals in total, only about 1% had standard deviation of ICPR less than⁷⁴⁰

0.1 rad, and about 34% — less than 0.4 rad.

Based on these observations, we conclude the SNR levels below 10 dB drastically affect the temporal constancy property of ICPR estimates due to degradation of phase estimation accuracy. Therefore, additional signal enhance-745 ment step is required in these noise conditions prior the phase estimation. For vibration signals with SNR levels exceeding 10 dB, the ICPR estimation can be attempted without prior signal enhancement, providing the ICPR trajectories approaching the constant values as expected by 750

(7).

690

6.5.2. Impact of equipment operating condition on the standard deviation of inter-component phase relations estimates

The results presented in section 6.3 indicate clear difference in distribution of standard deviation of PQI(1, 2, t)calculated for defective (shaft misalignment) and normal (no misalignment) operating conditions. The second harmonic of shaft rotation frequency component has lower₇₆₀ level of magnitude in normal state compared to defective

705

700

state, contributing to lower SNR level and degraded harmonic phase estimation accuracy. This fact yields the decreased variance of PQI(1, 2, t) for defective state compared to normal state. We assume the phenomenon of varying standard deviation of ICPR may indicate not only presence or absence of shaft misalignment defect in particular, but any other defect in general if it introduces increased harmonic SNR of components captured by particular ICPR.

Distributions of standard deviation of PQI(1,3,t) for different shaft misalignment configurations evaluated in section 6.4 show the potential of distinguishing between different misalignment configurations by comparing statistical characteristics of these distributions. Variance of ICPR for different misalignment configurations can be due to impact of non-synchronous vibration components or intermodulation effects that modify harmonic phase of components independently to each other within different range of values, depending on the level of misalignment.

7. Conclusion

725

In this paper we explored how inter-component phase signal processing techniques could be leveraged in natural acoustic signal processing applications, while existing applications already include synthetic acoustic signal processing and radio frequency signal processing.

We argue the inter-component phase relations carry the information about parameters of relative delays occurred on different frequencies during the quasipolyharmonic signal propagation. To demonstrate that, we proposed to extend harmonic phase model with parameters describing these relative delays, introduced the general expression for inter-component phase relations estimates, and derived their properties: temporal constancy and invariance to the time-frequency shifts and fluctuations of the harmonic amplitudes. These properties turn out to be consistent with the results of past experiments obtained for synthetic acoustic and radio frequency signals, and speech signals.

By employing the proposed harmonic phase model, we discussed why the earlier research on speech structure using higher-order spectra techniques did not reveal the non-linear nature of speech. We argue that even if voiced speech is a non-linear product of individual components that theoretically should produce a peak of bi-spectrum magnitude and identically zero bi-phase estimate, the propagation of resulting wave in the vocal tract adds additional delays. As a result, the value of bi-phase may differ from zero, obscuring the non-linear nature of voiced speech that may take place on earlier stages of speech production.

We examined the potential of employing intercomponent phase relations estimates in vibration signal processing, namely, for shaft parallel misalignment monitoring applications. We report the inter-component phase relations estimates exhibit lower variance for signals corresponding to parallel shaft misalignment defect, and higher variance for signals corresponding to normal state with no misalignment. This is due to lower level of magnitude of shaft rotation component harmonics observed in normal state compared to defective state, which contributes to lower SNR level and degraded harmonic phase estimation accuracy. Furthermore, distributions of standard deviation of inter-component phase relations estimates for different shaft misalignment configurations demonstrate the potential of distinguishing between different misalignment configurations by comparing statistical characteristics of these distributions. This can be due to impact of non-synchronous vibration components or intermodulation effects that modify harmonic phase of components independently to each other within different

range of values, depending on the level of misalignment.
The results reported in this work demonstrate that inter-component phase signal processing techniques offer a subtle framework for extracting fundamentally new information from acoustic signals of various nature.
Possible areas of future research include bioacoustics, ocean acoustics and geology acoustics.

8. Acknowledgements

765

770

775

795

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

The authors would like to thank VibroBox Minsk Research and Development office https://www.vibrobox.com ⁷⁹⁰ for providing the shaft misalignment data set used in this work, and the anonymous reviewers for their comments that helped to improve the quality of the paper.

Appendix A. Derivation of harmonic phases of modulated oscillations

In this appendix we consider basic signals with amplitude and single-tone angle modulation and derive the expressions for their harmonic phases. Throughout this section we assume the carrier signal $s_c(t)$ is a single-tone oscillation:

$$s_c(t) = A_c \cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c\right),\tag{A.1}$$

where Φ_c denotes harmonic phase of carrier signal.

Let us consider a signal with amplitude modulation from [61, Eq. 4.8b] where carrier signal is given by (A.1) and modulating signal m(t) is a quasipolyharmonic signal:

$$m(t) = A_c \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_m(n) \cos\left(h\Omega t + \Phi_m(n)\right), \qquad (A.2)$$

where $\Phi_m(n)$ denotes harmonic phase of modulating signal component at harmonic index n. Then, modulated signal $s_{\rm AM}(t)$ is given by:

$$s_{AM}(t) = \left(A_c + m(t)\right)\cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c\right)$$

$$= A_c \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N A_m(n)\cos\left(n\Omega t + \Phi_m(n)\right)\right)$$

$$\times \cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c\right)$$

$$= A_c\cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{A_c A_m(n)}{2}\cos\left((\omega + n\Omega)t + \Phi_c + \Phi_m(n)\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{A_c A_m(n)}{2}\cos\left((\omega - n\Omega)t + \Phi_c - \Phi_m(n)\right).$$

(A.3)

For comparison, let us also consider a signal with singletone angle modulation from [61, Eq. 5.6a]. If the carrier signal is given by (A.1) and modulating signal m(t) is a sinusoid with angular frequency Ω and harmonic phase Φ_m , the resulting signal with single-tone angle modulation $s_{\rm EM}(t)$ with modulation index β is given by:

$$s_{\rm EM}(t) = A_c \cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c + m(t)\right)$$

= $A_c \cos\left(\omega t + \Phi_c + \beta \sin\left(\Omega t + \Phi_m\right)\right)$
= $A_c \Re\left(e^{j(\omega t + \Phi_c)}e^{j\beta \sin\left(\Omega t + \Phi_m\right)}\right)$ (A.4)
= $A_c \Re\left(e^{j(\omega t + \Phi_c)}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(\beta)e^{jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m)}\right)$,

where $J_n(\beta)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and the *n*th order. Given that $J_{-n}(\beta) = (-1)^n J_n(\beta)$ (see [61, footnote on p. 215]), and $(-1)^n = \exp j\pi n$, the following expression holds:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} J_n(\beta) e^{jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n(\beta) e^{-jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m - \pi)}.$$
(A.5)

The series from (A.4) can then be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(\beta) e^{jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m)} = J_0(\beta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n(\beta) e^{jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n(\beta) e^{-jn(\Omega t + \Phi_m - \pi)}.$$
(A.6)

Inserting (A.6) into (A.4) and expanding the $\Re\{\cdot\}$ operator, we finally obtain:

$$s_{\rm EM}(t) = A_c J_0(\beta) \cos(\omega t + \Phi_c) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_c J_n(\beta) \cos((\omega + n\Omega)t + \Phi_c + n\Phi_m) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_c J_n(\beta) \cos((\omega - n\Omega)t + \Phi_c - n\Phi_m + \pi n).$$
(A.7)

Without loss of generality from physical standpoint, we₈₄₀ assume ω is a multiple of Ω , such that $\omega = H_c\Omega$, $H_c \in \mathbb{N}$ which can be achieved by shifting the signal spectrum along the frequency axis. Then, we may redefine indices n in (A.3) and (A.7) in a way that new index $h = H_c$ de-₈₄₅ notes the carrier component, $h < H_c$ and $h > H_c$ denote the components with $\omega - n\Omega$ and $\omega + n\Omega$ frequencies respectively. After that, harmonic phases $\Phi_x(h, t)$ expressed as follows for amplitude modulated signal:

$$\Phi_x^{\rm AM}(h,t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_c - \Phi_m(h) & \text{if } h < H_c, \\ \Phi_c & \text{if } h = H_c, \\ \Phi_c + \Phi_m(h) & \text{if } h > H_c, \end{cases}$$
(A.8)

and for single-tone angle modulated signal:

$$\Phi_{x}^{\rm EM}(h,t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{c} - (H_{c} - h)\Phi_{m} + (H_{c} - h)\pi & \text{if } h < H_{c}, \\ \Phi_{c} & \text{if } h = H_{c}, \\ \Phi_{c} + (h - H_{c})\Phi_{m} & \text{if } h > H_{c}. \\ & (A.9) \end{cases}$$

References

800

805

810

815

825

- [1] V. A. Zverev, Modulation method of ultrasonic dispersion measurements (in Russian), The Papers of the USSR Academy of $_{870}$ Sciences 91/4 (1953) 791–794.
- [2] V. A. Zverev, Modulation method of ultrasonic dispersion measurements (in Russian), Acoustical physics 2 (2) (1956) 142–145. URL http://www.akzh.ru/pdf/1956_2_142-145.pdf
- [3] C. J. Sletten, A. C. Schell, R. B. Mack, W. B. Goggins, P. Black-875 smith, Radar phase comparison method and system for object recognition, US 3725917 (Apr. 1973).
 - URL https://www.google.com/patents/US3725917
- [4] V. G. Nebabin, Methods and Techniques of Radar Recognition (an English translation of a book originally published in Russian₈₈₀ in 1984), Artech House Publishers, 1994.
- [5] V. I. Vorobiov, A. V. Klimov, Phase characteristics of objects reflection in multiple frequency radar with multipath propagation (in Russian), Journal Radioengineering, Moscow 2 (1986) 19–22. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4087932.
- [6] V. I. Vorobiov, A. V. Klimov, I. I. Sirotko, Analysis of phase characteristics of multiple frequency hydroacoustic signals with dualpath propagation (in Russian), in: Reports of the 14th Allunion statistical hydroacoustics workshop, Vol. 1, Acoustic Institution of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 1986, pp. 105–107.₈₉₀ doi:10.5281/zenodo.4088591.
- [7] G. N. Bochkov, K. V. Gorokhov, A synthesis approach of bispectral organized signals (in Russian), Technical Physics Letters 21 (16) (1995) 27–32.
 - [8] P. Mowlaee, J. Kulmer, J. Stahl, F. Mayer, Phase-Aware Sigand Processing in Speech Communication: History, Theory and Practice, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.

- [9] P. Mowlaee, R. Saeidi, Y. Stylianou, Advances in phase-aware signal processing in speech communication, Elsevier Speech Communication 81 (2016) 1–29.
- [10] P. Mowlaee, J. Kulmer, Phase estimation in single-channel speech enhancement: Limits-potential, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 23 (8) (2015) 1283–1294.
- [11] J. W. A. Fackrell, Bispectral analysis of speech signal, Ph.D. thesis, University of Edinburgh (1996).
- [12] S. Guoji, S. McLaughlin, X. Yongcheng, P. White, Theoretical and experimental analysis of bispectrum of vibration signals for fault diagnosis of gears, Elsevier Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 43 (2014) 76–89.
- [13] D. Wang, J. Lim, The unimportance of phase in speech enhancement, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 30 (4) (1982) 679–681.
- [14] K. K. Paliwal, K. K. Wojcicki, B. J. Shannon, The importance of phase in speech enhancement, Elsevier Speech Communication 53 (4) (2011) 465–494.
- [15] P. Mowlaee, R. Saeidi, Y. Stylianou, Phase importance in speech processing applications, in: Proc. ISCA Interspeech, 2014, pp. 1623–1627.
- [16] G. Degottex, D. Erro, A uniform phase representation for the harmonic model in speech synthesis applications, EURASIP J. on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing 2014 (1) (2014) 38.
- [17] M. Krawczyk, T. Gerkmann, STFT phase reconstruction in voiced speech for an improved single-channel speech enhancement, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 22 (12) (2014) 1931–1940.
- [18] J. Kulmer, P. Mowlaee, Phase estimation in single channel speech enhancement using phase decomposition, IEEE Signal Process. Lett. 22 (5) (2015) 598–602.
- [19] L. Barbini, A. Ompusunggu, A. Hillis, J. [du Bois], A. Bartic, Phase editing as a signal pre-processing step for automated bearing fault detection, Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 91 (2017) 407 – 421. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymssp.2016.12.004.
- [20] A. M. Gavrilov, Phase-dependent processes of interaction of regular acoustic waves in quadratically non-linear media without dispersion (in Russian), Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Technology, Southern Federal University, Taganrog, Russia (2011).
- [21] K. Itoh, Analysis of the phase unwrapping algorithm, Applied Optics 21 (14) (1982) 2470–2470.
- [22] S. Y. Barysenka, V. I. Vorobiov, P. Mowlaee, Single-channel speech enhancement using inter-component phase relations, Elsevier Speech Communication 99 (2018) 144–160. doi:10.1016/ j.specom.2018.03.009.
- [23] Y. Galayev, F. Kivva, Phase invariant method in radiowave propagation experiments (in Russian), Prikladnaja Radioelektronika, Kharkiv National University of Radioelectronics, Kharkiv, Ukraine 8 (2) (2009) 124–130.
- [24] V. I. Vorobiov, S. Y. Barysenka, Application of Inter-Component Phase Processing Methods in Non-Stationary Vibration Analysis (in Russian), in: Proceedings of the 27th session of the Russian Acoustical Society, 2014, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4129742.
- [25] V. A. Aksionov, V. I. Vorobiov, A. V. Klimov, N. A. Maslakova, I. I. Sirotko, Digital Phase Processing Methods of Ultra Wide Band Signals (in Russian), Journal Radioengineering and Electronics (Signal Generation, Transmission and Reception in Radio Systems), Minsk 22 (1994) 99–104. doi:10.5281/zenodo. 4088583.
- [26] V. I. Vorobiov, Inter-component phase processing of speech signals for their recognition and identification of announcers, in: Proceedings of the 18th session of the Russian Acoustical Society, Vol. 3, Russian Acoustical Society, 2006, pp. 48–51. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4129777.
- [27] D. R. Brillinger, An introduction to polyspectra, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics 36 (5) (1965) 1351–1374.
- [28] C. L. Nikias, A. P. Petropulu, Higher-order spectra analysis: a nonlinear signal processing framework, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: PTR Prentice Hall, 1993.

865

- [29] S. N. Gurbatov, I. Y. Demin, N. V. Pronchatov-Rubtsov, Bispectral analysis in inverse nonlinear acoustics problems, Acoustical Physics 63 (6) (2017) 637–641.
- [30] G. Zhou, G. B. Giannakis, Polyspectral analysis of mixed processes and coupled harmonics, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 42 (3) (1996) 943–958.

900

920

935

940

955

- [31] I. Saratxaga, I. Hernaez, D. Erro, E. Navas, J. Sanchez, Simple representation of signal phase for harmonic speech models, IEE975 Electronics Lett. 45 (7) (2009) 381–383.
- [32] I. Saratxaga, J. Sanchez, Z. Wu, I. Hernaez, E. Navas, Synthetic speech detection using phase information, Elsevier Speech Communication 81 (2016) 30–41.
- [33] M. Pirolt, J. Stahl, P. Mowlaee, V. Vorobiov, S. Barysenka,980
 A. Davydov, Phase estimation in single-channel speech enhancement using phase invariance constraints, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2017, pp. 5585–5589.
- E. Azarov, M. Vashkevich, A. Petrovsky, Instantaneous pitchess
 estimation algorithm based on multirate sampling, in: Proc.
 IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2016, pp. 4970–4974.
 - [35] V. I. Vorobiov, G. V. Davydov, Y. V. Shamgin, Phase relation between fundamental tones and vowel sounds obertonesses (in Russian), Doklady BGUIR, Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics 2 (14) (2006) 64–68.
 - [36] I. Saratxaga, I. Hernáez, I. Odriozola, E. Navas, I. Luengo, D. Erro, Using harmonic phase information to improve ASR rate, in: Proc. ISCA Interspeech, 2010, pp. 1185–1188.
- 925 [37] V. V. Mityanok, N. V. Konovalova, The use of phase analysis of speech sounds for speaker recognition (in Russian), Technical acoustics 13 (4) (2013) 1–10.
- [38] Y. Wakabayashi, T. Fukumori, M. Nakayama, T. Nishiura, Y. Yamashita, Single-Channel Speech Enhancement Withow Phase Reconstruction Based on Phase Distortion Averaging, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 26 (9) (2018) 1559–1569. doi:10.1109/TASLP.2018.2831632.
 - [39] A. W. Rix, J. G. Beerends, M. P. Hollier, A. P. Hekstra, Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ)-a new method forcos speech quality assessment of telephone networks and codecs, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), Vol. 2, 2001, pp. 749–752.
 - [40] C. H. Taal, R. C. Hendriks, R. Heusdens, J. Jensen, An algorithm for intelligibility prediction of time-frequency weightedono noisy speech, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 19 (7) (2011) 2125–2136.
 - [41] A. Gaich, P. Mowlaee, On speech intelligibility estimation of phase-aware single-channel speech enhancement, in: Proc. ISCA Interspeech, 2015, pp. 2553–2557.
- [42] P. C. Loizou, G. Kim, Reasons why current speech-enhancement algorithms do not improve speech intelligibility and suggested solutions, IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Process. 19 (1) (2011) 47–56.
- [43] P. Mowlaee, V. Vorobiov, S. Barysenka, Data for: Singlechannel speech enhancement using inter-component phase relations, Mendeley Data, v1 (Apr. 2018).
 - URL https://dx.doi.org/10.17632/v3cdn9tzxm.1
 - [44] J. S. Garofolo, L. F. Lamel, W. M. Fisher, J. G. Fiscus, D. S. Pallett, N. L. Dahlgren, DARPA TIMIT acoustic phonetic continuous speech corpus (1993).
 - [45] Y. Hu, P. C. Loizou, Subjective comparison and evaluation of speech enhancement algorithms, Elsevier Speech Communication 49 (7) (2007) 588-601. doi:10.1016/j.specom.2006.12. 006.
- [46] B. Boyanov, S. Hadjitodorov, O. Krumov, Analysis of voiced speech by means of bispectrum, in: IEEE South African Symposium on Communications and Signal Processing, 1990, pp. 183–185.
- [47] B. Boyanov, S. Hadjitodorov, T. Ivanov, Analysis of voiced speech by means of bispectrum, IEE Electronics Lett. 27 (24) (1991) 2267–2268.
 - [48] R. Fulchiero, A. S. Spanias, Speech enhancement using the bis-

pectrum, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), Vol. 4, 1993, pp. 488–491.

- [49] B. Wells, Voiced/unvoiced decision based on the bispectrum, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), Vol. 10, 1985, pp. 1589–1592.
- [50] S. Seetharaman, M. E. Jernigan, Speech signal reconstruction based on higher order spectra, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), Vol. 1, 1988, pp. 703–706.
- [51] E. J. Nemer, Speech analysis and quality enhancement using higher order cumulants, Ph.D. thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ont., Canada (1999).
- [52] I. S. Azarov, V. I. Vorobiov, A. G. Davydov, A. A. Petrovsky, Studying the connection between quasiharmonic components of a speech signal, in: Proceedings of the 24th session of the Russian Acoustical Society, Vol. 3, Russian Acoustical Society, 2011, pp. 514–517. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4129794.
- [53] J. Kulmer, P. Mowlaee, M. Watanabe, A probabilistic approach for phase estimation in single-channel speech enhancement using von Mises phase priors, in: Proc. IEEE Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing., 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [54] J. Thyssen, H. Nielsen, S. D. Hansen, Non-linear short-term prediction in speech coding, in: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing (ICASSP), Vol. 1, 1994, pp. 185–188.
- [55] M. D. Genkin, A. G. Sokolova, Vibroacoustic diagnostics of machines and mechanisms (in Russian), Mashinostroyeniye, 1987.
- [56] C. Scheffer, P. Girdhar, Practical Machinery Vibration Analysis and Predictive Maintenance, Elsevier, 2004.
- [57] Y. P. Aslamov, D. A. Kechik, I. G. Davydov, Shaft parallel misalignment data (Jul. 2020). doi:10.17632/pt9mjcvghd.1. URL https://dx.doi.org/10.17632/pt9mjcvghd.1
- [58] D. A. Kechik, Software: Dan-Kechik/IntercomponentPhaseRelations, Version 1.0 (Jul. 2020). doi:10.5281/zenodo.3941117. URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3941117
- [59] Y. Aslamov, I. Davydov, A. Zolotarev, A. Aslamov, Algorithms for refinement of the shaft rotational speed for solving the problems of vibration diagnostics of rotary equipment, in: WCCM 2017 - 1st World Congress on Condition Monitoring 2017, 2017, pp. 1511–1521.
- [60] Y. P. Aslamov, A. P. Aslamov, I. G. Davydov, A. V. Tsurko, Algorithms for refinement of the shaft rotational speed in problems of vibration-base diagnostics of rotary equipment (in Russian), Vestnik of Polotsk State University. Volume B. Industry. Applied Sciences (2017) 51–58.
- [61] B. P. Lathi, Modern Digital and Analog Communication Systems, 4th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2010.