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#### Abstract

Tubular neighborhoods play an important role in differential topology. We have applied these constructions to geometry of almost Hermitian manifolds. At first, we consider deformations of tensor structures on a normal tubular neighborhood of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold. Further, an almost hyper Hermitian structure has been constructed on the tangent bundle $T M$ with help of the Riemannian connection of an almost Hermitian structure on a manifold $M$ then, we consider an embedding of the almost Hermitian manifold $M$ in the corresponding normal tubular neighborhood of the null section in the tangent bundle TM equipped with the deformed almost hyper Hermitian structure of the special form. As a result, we have obtained that any Riemannian manifold $M$ of dimension $\boldsymbol{n}$ can be embedded as a totally geodesic submanifold in a Kaehlerian manifold of dimension $2 n$ (Theorem 6) and in a hyper Kaehlerian manifold of dimension $4 n$ (Theorem 7). Such embeddings are "good" from the point of view of Riemannian geometry. They allow solving problems of Riemannian geometry by methods of Kaehlerian geometry (see Section 5 as an example). We can find similar situation in mathematical analysis (real and complex).
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## 1. Deformations of Tensor Structures on a Normal Tubular Neighborhood of a Submanifold

$\mathbf{1}^{\circ}$. Let $\left(M^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ be a $k$-dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically embedded in a $n$-dimensional Rie-

[^0]mannian manifold $(M, g)$. The restriction of $g$ to $M^{\prime}$ coincides with $g^{\prime}$ and for any $p \in M^{\prime}$.
$$
T_{p}(M)=T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \oplus T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} .
$$

So, we obtain a vector bundle $M^{\prime} \rightarrow T\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}: p \rightarrow T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ over the submanifold $M^{\prime}$. There exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{0}$ of the null section $O_{M^{\prime}}$ in $T\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ such that the mapping

$$
\pi \times \exp : v \rightarrow\left(\pi(v), \exp _{\pi(v)} v\right), v \in \tilde{U}_{0}
$$

is a diffeomorphism of $\tilde{U}_{0}$ onto an open subset $\tilde{U} \subset M$. The subset $\tilde{U}$ is called a tubular neighborhood of the submanifold $M^{\prime}$ in $M$.

For any point $p \in M$ we can consider a set $\{\delta(p)\}$ of positive numbers such that the mapping $\exp _{U(\delta(p))}$ is defined and injective on $U(\delta(p)) \subset T_{p}(M)$. Let $\bar{\varepsilon}(p)=\sup \{\delta(p)\}$.

## Lemma [1]. The mapping $M \rightarrow R_{+}: p \rightarrow \bar{\varepsilon}(p)$ is continuous on $M$.

If we take the restriction of the function $\bar{\varepsilon}(p)$ on $\tilde{U}$ then it is clear that there exists a continuous positive function $\varepsilon(p)$ on $M^{\prime}$ such that for any $p \in M^{\prime}$ open geodesic balls $B\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right) \subset B(p ; \varepsilon(p)) \subset \tilde{U}$. For compact manifolds we can choose a constant function $\varepsilon(p)=\varepsilon>0$. We denote $\tilde{U}_{p}=\exp \left(\tilde{U}_{0} \cap T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}\right)$, $D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)=B\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right) \cap \tilde{U}_{p}, D(p ; \varepsilon(p))=B(p ; \varepsilon(p)) \cap \tilde{U}_{p}$. It is obvious that $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{U}_{p}=\operatorname{dim} D(p ; \varepsilon(p))=n-k$. For any point $o \in M^{\prime}$ we can consider such an orthonormal frame $\left(X_{1_{0}}, \cdots, X_{n_{0}}\right)$ that $T_{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=L\left[X_{1_{0}}, \cdots, X_{k_{0}}\right]$ and $T_{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}=L\left[X_{k+1_{0}}, \cdots, X_{n_{0}}\right]$. There exist coordinates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ in some neighborhood $\tilde{V}_{0} \subset M^{\prime}$ of the point $o$ that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{0}}}=X_{i_{0}}, i=\overline{1, k}$. We consider orthonormal vector fields $X_{k+1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ which are cross-sections of the vector bundle $p \rightarrow T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ over $\tilde{V}_{0}$ and the neighborhood $\tilde{W}_{0}=\bigcup_{p \in \bar{V}_{0}} \tilde{U}_{p}$. The basis $\left\{X_{k+1}, \cdots, X_{n_{p}}\right\}$ defines the normal coordinates $x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ on $\tilde{U}_{p}$ [2]. For any point $x \in \tilde{W}_{0}$ there exists such unique point $p \in \tilde{V}_{0}$ that $x=\exp _{p}(t \xi),\|\xi\|=1, \xi \in T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$. A point $x \in \tilde{W}_{0}$ has the coordinates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ are coordinates of the point $p$ in $\tilde{V}_{0}$ and $x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are normal coordinates of $x$ in $\tilde{U}_{p}$. We denote $X_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, i=\overline{1, n}$, on $\tilde{W}_{0}$. Thus, we can consider tubular neighborhoods $T b\left(M^{\prime} ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)=\bigcup_{p \in M^{\prime}} D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$ and $T b\left(M^{\prime} ; \varepsilon(p)\right)=\bigcup_{p \in M^{\prime}} D(p ; \varepsilon(p))$ of the submanifold $M^{\prime}$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $K$ be a smooth tensor field of type $(r, s)$ on the manifold $M$ and for $x \in \tilde{W}_{0}$, let

$$
K_{x}=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots i_{r}, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{s}} k_{h_{h}, \cdots, j_{s}}^{i_{1}, \cdots i_{j}}(x) X_{i_{i_{x}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i_{r_{x}}} \otimes X_{x}^{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{x}^{j_{s}},
$$

where $\left\{X_{x}^{1}, \cdots, X_{x}^{n}\right\}$ is the dual basis of $T_{x}^{*}(M), x=\exp _{p}(t \xi),\|\xi\|=1, \xi \in T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$. We define a tensor field $\bar{K}$ on $M$ in the following way.
a) $x \in D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$, then

$$
\bar{K}_{x}=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}, i_{1}, \cdots, j_{s}} k_{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{s}}^{i_{1}, \cdots i_{r}}(p) X_{i_{i_{x}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i_{r_{x}}} \otimes X_{x}^{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{x}^{j_{s}} ;
$$

b) $x \in D(p ; \varepsilon(p)) \backslash D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$, then

$$
\bar{K}_{x}=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}, i_{1}, \cdots, j_{s}} k_{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{s}}^{i_{1}, \cdots i_{r}}\left(\exp _{p}((2 t-\varepsilon(p)) \xi)\right) X_{i_{i_{1}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i_{r_{x}}} \otimes X_{x}^{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{x}^{j_{s}} ;
$$

c) $x \in M \backslash \bigcup_{M^{\prime}} D(p ; \varepsilon(p))$, then

$$
\bar{K}_{x}=K_{x} .
$$

It is easy to see the independence of the tensor field $\bar{K}$ on a choice of coordinates in $\tilde{W}_{0}$ for every point $o \in M^{\prime}$.
Definition 1. The tensor field $\bar{K}$ is called a deformation of the tensor field $K$ on the normal tubular neighborhood of a submanifold $M^{\prime}$.

Remark. The obtained tensor field $\bar{K}$ is continuous but is not smooth on the boundaries of the normal tubular neighborhoods $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M^{\prime} ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M^{\prime} ; \varepsilon(p)\right) ; \bar{K}$ is smooth in other points of the manifold $M$.
$3^{\circ}$. We consider a deformation $\bar{g}$ of the Riemannian metric $g$ on the normal tubular neighborhood $T b\left(M^{\prime} ; \varepsilon(p)\right)$ of a submanifold $M^{\prime}$. For $x \in \tilde{W}_{0}, \quad x=\exp _{p}(t \xi),\|\xi\|=1, \xi \in T_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, we define the Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ by the following way.
a) $\bar{g}_{p}=g_{p}$ for any $p \in M^{\prime}$;
b) $\bar{g}_{x}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\bar{g}_{i j}(x)=\bar{g}_{i j}(p)$, where $X_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, i=\overline{1, n}, X_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=\overline{1, n}, \quad$ on $\tilde{W}_{0}, \quad x \in D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$;
c) $\bar{g}_{x}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\bar{g}_{i j}(x)=\bar{g}_{i j}\left(\exp _{p}((2 t-\varepsilon(p)) \xi)\right)$, for any $x \in D(p ; \varepsilon(p)) / D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$;
d) $\bar{g}_{x}=g_{x}$ for each point $x \in M \backslash \bigcup_{p \in M^{\prime}} D(p ; \varepsilon(p))$.

The independence of $\bar{g}$ on a choice of local coordinates follows and the correctly defined Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ on $M$ has been obtained.

It is known from [3] that every autoparallel submanifold of $M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold and a submanifold $M^{\prime}$ is autoparallel if and only if $\nabla_{X} Y \in T\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ for any $X, Y \in \chi\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connection of $g$.

Theorem 1. Let $M^{\prime}$ be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ and $\bar{g}$ be the deformation of $g$ on the normal tubular neighborhood $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M^{\prime} ; \varepsilon(p)\right)$ of $M^{\prime}$ constructed above. Then $M^{\prime}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\left(T b\left(M^{\prime} ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right), \bar{g}\right)$.

Proof. For any point $x \in D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right) \subset \tilde{W}_{0}$ the functions $\bar{g}_{i j}(x)=g_{i j}(p)$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{i j}}{\partial x_{l}}=0, l=\overline{k+1, n}$ on $D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$ because the vector fields $X_{l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}$ are tangent to $D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$. By the formula of the Riemannian connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of the Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$, [2], we obtain for $i, j=\overline{1, k}, l=\overline{k+1, n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \bar{g}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j}, X_{l}\right)= & X_{i_{p}} \bar{g}\left(X_{j}, X_{l}\right)+X_{j_{p}} \bar{g}\left(X_{i}, X_{l}\right)-X_{l_{p}} \bar{g}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)+\bar{g}_{p}\left(\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right], X_{l}\right) \\
& +\bar{g}_{p}\left(\left[X_{l}, X_{i}\right], X_{j}\right)+\bar{g}_{p}\left(X_{i},\left[X_{l}, X_{j}\right]\right)=-\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{i j}}{\partial x_{l}}=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the fact that $\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]=\left[X_{l}, X_{i}\right]=\left[X_{l}, X_{j}\right]=0$ and that $\bar{g}\left(X_{j}, X_{l}\right)=\bar{g}\left(X_{i}, X_{l}\right)=0$ because $X_{l} \in T\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$.
Thus, $\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j} \in T\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and from the remarks above the theorem follows.
QED.
Corollary 1.1. Let $\bar{R}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor field of $\bar{\nabla}$. Then $\bar{R}$ vanishes on every
$D\left(p ; \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$ for $p \in M^{\prime}$.
Proof. From the formula (1.1) it is clear that $\bar{\nabla}_{X_{l}} X_{m}=0$ for $l, m=\overline{k+1, n}$. The rest is obvious.
QED.

## 2. Almost Hyper Hermitian Structures (ahHs) on Tangent Bundles

$\mathbf{0}^{\circ}$. We follow especially close to [4].
Let $(M, g)$ be a $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $T M$ be its tangent bundle. For a Riemannian connection $\nabla$ we consider the connection map $K$ of $\nabla$ [5], [1], defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Z=K Z_{*} X, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is considered as a map from $M$ into $T M$ and the right side means a vector field on $M$ assigning to $p \in M$ the vector $K Z_{*} X_{p} \in M_{p}$.
If $U \in T M$, we denote by $H_{U}$ the kernel of $K_{T M_{U}}$ and this $n$-dimensional subspace of $T M_{U}$ is called the horizontal subspace of $T M_{U}$.
Let $\pi$ denote the natural projection of $T M$ onto $M$, then $\pi_{*}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-map of $T T M$ onto $T M$. If $U \in T M$, we denote by $V_{U}$ the kernel of $\pi_{* T M_{U}}$ and this $n$-dimension subspace of $T M_{U}$ is called the vertical subspace of $T M_{U}\left(\operatorname{dim} T M_{U}=2 \operatorname{dim} M=2 n\right)$. The following maps are isomorphisms of corresponding vector spaces ( $p=\pi(U)$ )

$$
\pi_{* T M_{U}}: H_{U} \rightarrow M_{p}, K_{\mid T M_{U}}: V_{U} \rightarrow M_{p}
$$

and we have

$$
T M_{U}=\hat{H}_{U} \oplus V_{U}
$$

If $X \in \chi(M)$, then there exists exactly one vector field on $T M$ called the "horizontal lift" (resp. "vertical lift") of $X$ and denoted by $\bar{X}^{h}\left(\bar{X}^{\vee}\right)$, such that for all $U \in T M$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{*} \bar{X}_{U}^{h}=X_{\pi(U)}, & K \bar{X}_{U}^{h}=0_{\pi(U)}, \\
\pi_{*} \bar{X}_{U}^{v}=0_{\pi(U)}, & K \bar{X}_{U}^{v}=X_{\pi(U)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

Let $R$ be the curvature tensor field of $\nabla$, then following [5] we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\bar{X}^{\vee}, \bar{Y}^{\vee}\right]=0,}  \tag{2.4}\\
& {\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{\vee}\right]=\left(\overline{\nabla_{X} Y}\right)^{v}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \pi_{*}\left(\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right]_{U}\right)=[X, Y],  \tag{2.6}\\
& K\left(\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right]_{U}\right)=R(X, Y) U . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

For vector fields $\bar{X}=\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{\vee}$ and $\bar{Y}=\bar{Y}^{h} \oplus \bar{Y}^{\vee}$ on $T M$ the natural Riemannian metric $\hat{g}=\langle$,$\rangle is defined$ on $T M$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle=g\left(\pi_{*} \bar{X}, \pi_{*} \bar{Y}\right)+g(K \bar{X}, K \bar{Y}) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the subspaces $H_{U}$ and $V_{U}$ are orthogonal with respect to $\langle$,$\rangle .$
It is easy to verify that $\bar{X}_{1}^{h}, \bar{X}_{2}^{h}, \cdots, \bar{X}_{n}^{h}, \bar{X}_{1}^{v}, \bar{X}_{2}^{v}, \cdots, \bar{X}_{n}^{v}$ are orthonormal vector fields on $T M$ if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are those on $M$ i.e. $g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\delta_{j}^{i}$.
$1^{\circ}$. We define a tensor field $J_{1}$ on $T M$ by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1} \bar{X}^{h}=\bar{X}^{v}, J_{1} \bar{X}^{v}=-\bar{X}^{h}, X \in \chi(M) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $X \in \chi(M)$ we get

$$
J_{1}^{2} \bar{X}=J_{1}\left(J_{1}\left(\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)\right)=J_{1}\left(-\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)=-\left(\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)=-I \bar{X}
$$

and

$$
J_{1}^{2}=-I .
$$

For $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{1} \bar{X}, J_{1} \bar{Y}\right\rangle=\left\langle-\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v},-\bar{Y}^{h} \oplus \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle-\bar{X}^{h},-\bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{X}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle \\
& \langle\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle=\left\langle\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{h} \oplus \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{X}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that $\left\langle J_{1} \bar{X}, J_{1} \bar{Y}\right\rangle=\langle\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle,\left(T M, J_{1},\langle\rangle,\right)$ is an almost Hermitian manifold.
Further, we want to analyze the second fundamental tensor field $h^{1}$ of the pair $\left(J_{1},\langle\rangle,\right)$ where $h^{1}$ is defined by (2.11), [6].

The Riemannian connection $\hat{\nabla}$ of the metric $\hat{g}=\langle$,$\rangle on T M$ is defined by the formula (see [1])

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{2}(\bar{X}\langle\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\rangle+\bar{Y}\langle\bar{Z}, \bar{X}\rangle-\bar{Z}\langle\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle+\langle\bar{Z},[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]\rangle  \tag{2.10}\\
& +\langle\bar{Y},[\bar{Z}, \bar{X}]\rangle+\langle\bar{X},[\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}]\rangle), X, Y, Z \in \chi(M) .
\end{align*}
$$

For orthonormal vector fields $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$ on $T M$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\overline{X Y Z}}^{1}= & \left\langle h_{\bar{X}}^{1} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}+J_{1} \hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J_{1} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle\hat{\left.\left.\nabla_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle-\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J_{1} \bar{Y}, J_{1} \bar{Z}\right\rangle\right)}\right.\right.  \tag{2.11}\\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\langle[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}], \bar{Z}\rangle+\langle[\bar{Z}, \bar{X}], \bar{Y}\rangle+\langle[\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}], \bar{X}\rangle-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}, J_{1} \bar{Y}\right], J_{1} \bar{Z}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}, \bar{X}\right], J_{1} \bar{Y}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}, J_{1} \bar{Y}\right], \bar{X}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.4)-(2.7) and (2.11) we consider the following cases for the tensor field $h^{1}$ assuming all the vector fields to be orthonormal.

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{1}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{Z}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], J_{1} \bar{Z}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}^{h}, J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left(g([X, Y], Z)+g([Z, X], Y)+g([Z, Y], X)-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{v}\right], \bar{Z}^{v}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{v}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X} Z, Y\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)\right)=0 . \\
h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{1}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{Z}^{\vee}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], J_{1} \bar{Z}^{v}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{1} \bar{Z}^{v}, J_{1} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left(g(R(X, Y) U, Z)+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{\vee}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}(g(R(X, Y) U, Z)+g(R(Z, X) U, Y)) \\
= & -\frac{1}{4}(g(R(X, Y) Z, U)+g(R(Z, X) Y, U)) .
\end{align*}
$$

By similar arguments we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{v} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{1}=-\frac{1}{4}(g(R(Z, X) Y, U)+g(R(X, Y) Z, U)) \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{v} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{1}=-\frac{1}{4}(g(R(Z, Y) X, U)) \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{v} \bar{Y}^{v} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}(g(R(Z, Y) X, U)) \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{v} \bar{Y}^{v} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{1}=0 \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{v} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{1}=0 \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{v} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $\left(J_{1}, \hat{g}\right)$ is a Kaehlerian structure if and only if $h^{1}=0$
$\mathbf{2}^{\circ}$. Now assume additionally that we have an almost Hermitian structure $J$ on $(M, g)$. We define a tensor field $J_{2}$ on $T M$ by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2} \bar{X}^{h}=(\overline{J X})^{h}, \quad J_{2} \bar{X}^{v}=-(\overline{J X})^{v}, \quad X \in \chi(M) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $X \in \chi(M)$ we get

$$
J_{2}^{2} \bar{X}=J_{2}\left(J_{2}\left(\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)\right)=J_{2}\left((\overline{J X})^{h} \oplus-(\overline{J X})^{v}\right)=-\left(\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)-I \bar{X}
$$

and

$$
J_{2}^{2}=-I
$$

For $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{2} \bar{X}, J_{2} \bar{Y}\right\rangle & =\left\langle(\overline{J X})^{h} \oplus-(\overline{J X})^{v},(\overline{J Y})^{h} \oplus-(\overline{J Y})^{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle(\overline{J X})^{h},(\overline{J Y})^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle(\overline{J X})^{v},(\overline{J Y})^{v}\right\rangle \\
& =g(J X, J Y)+g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)+g(X, Y) \\
& =\left\langle\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{X}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{h} \oplus \bar{Y}^{v}\right\rangle=\langle\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{1}\left(J_{2} \bar{X}\right)=J_{1}\left((\overline{J X})^{h} \oplus-(\overline{J X})^{v}\right)=(\overline{J X})^{h} \oplus(\overline{J X})^{v}, \\
J_{2}\left(J_{1} \bar{X}\right)=J_{2}\left(-\bar{X}^{h} \oplus \bar{X}^{v}\right)=-(\overline{J X})^{h} \oplus-(\overline{J X})^{v}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, we get $J_{1} J_{2}=-J_{2} J_{1}=J_{3}$ and ahHs $\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3},\langle\rangle,\right)$ on $T M$ has been constructed.
For orthonormal vector fields $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$ on $T M$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{X Y Z}^{2}= & \left\langle h_{\bar{X}}^{2} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}+J_{2} \hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J_{2} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}\right\rangle-\left\langle\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J_{2} \bar{Y}, J_{2} \bar{Z}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\langle[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}], \bar{Z}\rangle+\langle[\bar{Z}, \bar{X}], \bar{Y}\rangle+\langle[\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}], \bar{X}\rangle-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}, J_{2} \bar{Y}\right], J_{2} \bar{Z}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}, \bar{X}\right], J_{2} \bar{Y}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}, J_{2} \bar{Y}\right], \bar{X}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.4)-(2.7) and (2.13) we consider the following cases for the tensor field $h^{2}$ assuming all the vector fields to be orthonormal.

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{2}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{Z}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], J_{2} \bar{Z}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}^{h}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}^{h}, J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}(g([X, Y], Z)+g([Z, X], Y)+g([Z, Y], X) \\
& -g([X, J Y], J Z)-g([J Z, X], J Y)-g([J Z, J Y], X)) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X} J Y, J Z\right)\right)=h_{X Y Z} \cdot \\
h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{2}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{Z}^{v}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left[\bar{X}^{h}, J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], J_{2} \bar{Z}^{v}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}^{v}, \bar{X}^{h}\right], J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left[J_{2} \bar{Z}^{v}, J_{2} \bar{Y}^{h}\right], \bar{X}^{h}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}(g(R(X, Y) U, Z)+g(R(X, J Y) U, J Z)) \\
= & -\frac{1}{4}(g(R(X, Y) Z, U)+g(R(X, J Y) J Z, U)) .
\end{align*}
$$

By similar arguments we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{v} \bar{Z}^{h}}^{2}=-\frac{1}{4}(g(R(X, Z) Y, U)+g(R(X, J Z) J Y, U)) . \\
& h_{\bar{X}}^{2} \overline{\bar{Y}}^{h} \bar{Z}^{h} \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{v} \bar{Y}^{\vee} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{2}=-\frac{1}{4}(g(R(Z, Y) X, U)+g(R(J Z, J Y) X, U)) . \\
& h_{\bar{X}}^{2} \overline{\bar{Y}}^{\vee} \bar{Z}^{h} \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{\vee} \bar{Y}^{h} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{2}=0 . \\
& h_{\bar{X}^{h} \bar{Y}^{\vee} \bar{Z}^{v}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X} J Y, J Z\right)\right)=h_{X Y Z} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $h$ is the second fundamental tensor field of the pair $(J, g)$ on $M$.

## 3. Embeddings of Almost Hermitian Manifolds in Almost Hyper Hermitian Those

For an almost Hermitian manifold ( $M, J, g$ ) we have constructed in Section 2 ahHs $\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, \hat{g}\right)$ on $T M$. The manifold $M$ can be considered as the null section $O_{M}$ in $T M\left(p \leftrightarrow o_{p} \in O_{M} \subset T M\right)$ and it is clear from (2.8) that $\hat{g}_{\mid M}=g$. All the results of 1 can be applied to a submanifold $M$ in ( $T M, \hat{g}$ ), see [7]. So, we can consider the normal tubular neighborhoods $T b\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right) \subset T b(M, \varepsilon(p)) \subset T M$ and the deformations $\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}$ of the tensor fields $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, \hat{g}$ respectively.

Theorem 2. Let ( $M, J, g$ ) be an almost Hermitian manifold and $\operatorname{Tb}(M, \varepsilon(p))$ be the corresponding normal tubular neighborhood with respect to $\hat{g}=\langle$,$\rangle on T M$. Then $M\left(O_{M}\right)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of the almost hyper Hermitian manifold $\left(\operatorname{Tb}\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right), \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}\right)$, where the ahHs $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}\right)$ is the deformation of the structure $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \hat{g}\right)$ obtained in $\mathbf{2}^{\circ}$, Section 1. The structure $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$ is Kaehlerian one.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that $M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of the Riemannian manifold $\left(T b\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right), \bar{g}\right)$.

Let $\tilde{W}_{0}$ be a coordinate neighborhood in $T M$ considered in $\mathbf{1}^{\circ}$, Section 1. A point $x \in \tilde{W}_{0}$ has the coordinates $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{2 n}$ where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are coordinates of the point $p$ in $\tilde{V}_{0} \subset M$ and $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{2 n}$ are normal coordinates of $x$ in $D\left(p, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$.

We denote $\quad X_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, i=\overline{1,2 n}, \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j}=\sum_{k} \hat{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k} X_{k}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j}=\sum_{k} \bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k} X_{k}, \quad J X_{j}=\sum_{k} J_{j}^{k} X_{k}, \quad \bar{J} X_{j}=\sum_{k} \bar{J}_{j}^{k} X_{k}$, $\hat{g}_{i j}=\hat{g}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right), \quad \bar{g}_{i j}=\bar{g}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ where $\hat{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are Riemannian connections of metrics $\hat{g}$ and $\bar{g}, J$ is any tensor field from $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$.
Using the construction in $\mathbf{2}^{\circ}$, Section 1 we have $\bar{g}_{i j}(x)=\hat{g}_{i j}(p), \bar{J}_{j}^{i}(x)=J_{j}^{i}(p)$ on $\left.\operatorname{Tb} M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right) \cap \tilde{W}_{0}$. According to [2] we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l} \bar{g}_{l k} \bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{k j}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{i k}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{i j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) that $\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l}(x)=\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l}(p)$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l}(x)=0$ i.e. $\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{j}=0$ for $i=\overline{n+1,2 n}$. Further, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J}\right) X_{j} & =\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J} X_{j}-\bar{J}_{X_{i}} X_{j}=\sum_{k} \bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J}_{j}^{k} X_{k}-\bar{J}\left(\sum_{k} \bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{k} X_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(\bar{J}_{j}^{k} \bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} X_{k}+\left(X_{i} \bar{J}_{j}^{k}\right) X_{k}\right)-\sum_{k, l} \bar{\Gamma}_{l i j}^{l} \bar{J}_{l}^{k} X_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k, l}\left(\bar{J}_{j}^{l} \bar{\Gamma}_{i l}^{k}-\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l} \bar{J}_{l}^{k}+X_{i} \bar{J}_{j}^{k}\right) X_{k}, \\
\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J}\right) X_{j}\right)(x) & =\sum_{k, l}\left(\bar{J}_{j}^{l} \bar{\Gamma}_{i l}^{k}-\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l} \bar{J}_{l}^{k}+X_{i} \bar{J}_{j}^{k}\right)(x) X_{k \mid x} \\
& =\sum_{k, l}\left(\left(\bar{J}_{j} \bar{\Gamma}_{i l}^{k}-\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l} \bar{J}_{l}^{k}\right)(p)+\left(X_{i} \bar{J}_{j}^{k}\right)(x)\right) X_{k \mid x} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J}=0$ for $i=\overline{n+1,2 n}$.
For $i=\overline{1, n} \quad\left(X_{i} \bar{J}_{j}^{k}\right)(x)=\left(X_{i} J_{j}^{k}\right)(p)$ and we obtain

$$
\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{j}} \bar{J}\right) X_{j}\right)(x)=\sum_{k, l}\left(J_{j}^{l} \hat{\Gamma}_{i l}^{k}-\hat{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l} J_{l}^{k}+X_{i} J_{j}^{k}\right)(p) X_{k \mid x}
$$

From the other side we can write

$$
\left(\left(\hat{\nabla}_{X_{i}} \bar{J}\right) X_{j}\right)(p)=\sum_{k, l}\left(J_{j}^{l} \hat{\Gamma}_{i l}^{k}-\hat{\Gamma}_{i j}^{l} J_{l}^{k}+X_{i} J_{j}^{k}\right)(p) X_{k \mid p}
$$

According to [6] we have $\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{i}} J\right) X_{j}=\left(2 h_{X_{i}} J X_{j}\right)(p)$ where the second fundamental tensor field $h$ is defined by (2.11). From (1.1 $)$-(8.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) it follows that $h_{p}^{1}=0$ for any $p \in M\left(U=o_{p} \in O_{M}\right)$. Thus, we have obtained $\bar{\nabla} J_{1}=0$ and the structure $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$ is Kaehlerian one on $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$.

QED.
As a corollary we have got the following:
Theorem 3 [8]. Let $(M, g)$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold and $\operatorname{Tb}(M, \varepsilon(p))$ be the corresponding normal tubular neighborhood with respect to $g=\langle$,$\rangle on TM. Then M\left(O_{M}\right)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of the Kaehlerian manifold $\left(\operatorname{Tb}\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right), \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$.

The classification given in [9] can be rewritten in terms of the second fundamental tensor field $h$ (Table 1),

Table 1. Classification of almost Hermitian structures.

| Class | Defining condition |
| :---: | :---: |
| K | $h=0$ |
| $U_{1}=N K$ | $h_{X} X=0$ |
| $U_{2}=A K$ | $\sigma h_{X Y Z}=0$ |
| $U_{3}=S K \cap H$ | $h_{X X Z}-h_{\text {JXIYIZ }}=\beta(Z)=0$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{4}$ | $h_{X X Z}=\frac{1}{2(n-1)}[\langle X, Y\rangle \beta(Z)-\langle X, Z\rangle \beta(Y)-\langle X, J Y\rangle \beta(J Z)+\langle X, J Z\rangle \beta(J Y)]$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{2}=Q K$ | $h_{X X Y Z}=h_{\text {JXIZ }}$ |
| $U_{3} \oplus U_{4}=\boldsymbol{H}$ | $N(J)=0$ or $h_{X Y Z Z}=-h_{\text {JXYZ }}$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{3}$ | $h_{X X Y}-h_{\text {JXXX }}=\beta(Z)=0$ |
| $\boldsymbol{U}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{U}_{4}$ | $\sigma\left[h_{X Y Z Z}-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\langle J X, Y\rangle \beta(Z)\right]=0$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{4}$ | $h_{X X Y}=-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left[\langle X, Y\rangle \beta(X)-\\|X\\|^{2} \beta(Y)-\langle X, J Y\rangle \beta(J X)\right]$ |
| $U_{2} \oplus U_{3}$ | $\sigma\left[h_{X Y Z}+h_{\text {XXZ }}\right]=\beta(Z)=0$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{2} \oplus U_{3}=S K$ | $\beta=0$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{2} \oplus U_{4}$ | $h_{X Y Z}-h_{\text {XXZ }}=\frac{1}{(n-1)}[\langle X, Y\rangle \beta(J Z)-\langle X, Z\rangle \beta(J Y)+\langle X, J Y\rangle \beta(Z)-\langle X, J Z\rangle \beta(Y)]$ |
| $U_{1} \oplus U_{3} \oplus U_{4}$ | $h_{X X X}+h_{X X X Y}=0$ |
| $U_{2} \oplus U_{3} \oplus U_{4}$ | $\sigma\left[h_{X Y Y Z}+h_{\text {SXXZ }}\right]=0$ |

see chapter 5 of monograph [6].
Let $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 6$ and $2 \beta(X)=\delta \Phi(J X)$, where $\Phi(X, Y)=g(J X, Y)$, then we have Table 1.
Proposition 4. Let $(J, g)$ be from some class from the Table 1. Then the structure $\left(\bar{J}_{2}, \bar{g}\right)$ has the analogous class on $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$.

Proof. From (1.2 $)-\left(8.2^{\circ}\right)$ it follows that $h_{\overline{X Y Z}}^{2}=2 h_{X Y Z}$. The rest is obvious from the table.
QED.

## 4. Complex ând Hypercomplex Numbers in Differential Geometry

For the manifold $M$ we consider the products $M^{2}=M \times M=\{(x ; y) \mid x ; y \in M\}$, $M^{4}=M^{2} \times M^{2}=\{(x ; y ; u ; v) \mid x ; y, u ; v \in M\}$ and the diagonals $\Delta\left(M^{2}\right)=\left\{(x ; x) \in M^{2}\right\}$, $\Delta\left(M^{4}\right)=\left\{(x ; x ; x ; x) \in M^{4}\right\}$. It is obvious that the manifold $\Delta\left(M^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(M^{4}\right)$ are diffeomorphic to $M$ $\left(\Delta\left(M^{2}\right) \cong \Delta\left(M^{4}\right) \cong M\right)$.
Theorem 5 [1]. Let $(M, \nabla)$ be a manifold with a connection $\nabla$ and $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Then there exists such a neighborhood $N_{0}$ of the null section $O_{M}$ in TM that the mapping

$$
\varphi: \pi \times \exp : X \rightarrow\left(\pi(X), \exp _{\pi(X)} X\right)
$$

is the diffeomorphic of $N_{0}$ on a neighborhood $N_{\Delta}$ of the diagonal $\Delta\left(M^{2}\right)$.
Further, $\nabla$ is a Riemannian connection of the Riemannian metric $g$. Combining the Theorems 3 and 5 we have obtained the following.

Theorem 6. The diffeomorphism $\varphi$ induces the Kaehlerian structure $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$ on the neighborhood $N_{\Delta}$ of the diagonal $\Delta\left(M^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(M^{2}\right) \cong M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of the Kaehlerian manifold $\left(N_{\Delta}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$.

Remark. Generally speaking, the complex structure of the Kaehlerian manifold $\left(N_{\Delta}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$ is not compatible with the product structure of $M^{2}$. It means that if $z_{l}, l=\overline{1, n}$ are the complex coordinates of a point $(x, y) \in N_{\Delta}$, then, generally speaking, we can not find such real coordinates $x_{l}, y_{l}, l=\overline{1, n}$ of the points $x, y \in M$ respectively that $z_{l}=x_{l}+i y_{l}$ where $i^{2}=-1$.

Combining the Theorems 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 we have obtained the following.
Theorem 7. There exists the hyper Kaehlerian structure $\left(\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}\right)$ on a neighborhood $\bar{N}_{\Delta}$ of the diagonal $\Delta\left(M^{4}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(M^{4}\right) \cong M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of the hyper Kaehlerian manifold $\left(N_{\Delta}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}\right)$.

Remark. Generally speaking, the hypercomplex structure of the hyper Kaehlerian manifold ( $\bar{N}_{\Delta}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}, \bar{J}_{3}, \bar{g}$ ) is not compatible with the product structure of $M^{4}$. It means that if $q_{l}, l=\overline{1, n}$ are the hypercomplex coordinates of a point $(x ; y ; u ; v) \in \bar{N}_{\Delta}$, then, generally speaking we can not find such real coordinates $x_{l}, y_{l}, u_{l}, v_{l}, l=\overline{1, n}$ of the points $x ; y ; u ; v \in M$ respectively that $q_{l}=x_{l}+i y_{l}+j u_{l}+k v_{l}$ where $i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=$ $-1, i j=-j i=k$.

## 5. A Local Construction of Kaehlerian and Riemannian Metrics

$\mathbf{1}^{\circ}$. We consider a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ as a totally geodesic subanifold of the Kaehlerian manifold $\operatorname{Tb}\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}, \bar{J}=J_{1}, \bar{g}\right)$ (see Theorem 3) then $\bar{g}_{\mid M}=g$.

Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be coordinates in some coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$ be the corresponding vector fields. We can choose a neighborhood $\bar{U}=U \times D=\bigcup_{p \in U} D(p ; \varepsilon) \subset T b\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right)$ where $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}$ for every point $p \in U$. It is clear from $3^{0}, 1$ that $U \times D$ is a Riemannian product with respect the metric $\bar{g}$. For every point $x \in \bar{U}$ where $\pi(x)=p$ we denote $Y_{j x}=\bar{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j x}}, j=\overline{1, n}$ and the vector fields $Y_{j}$ define the coordinates $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ on $D_{(p ; \varepsilon)}$ hence $Y_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}$ is tangent to $D_{(p ; \varepsilon)}$ for $j=\overline{1, n}$.

So, $\bar{U}$ is an coordinate neighborhood of the Kaehlerian manifold $\left(T b\left(M, \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{2}\right), \bar{J} \bar{g}\right)$, with complex coordinates $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}, j=\overline{1, n}, i^{2}=-1$, and the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}\right)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}\right), \alpha, \beta=\overline{1, n}$. It is known [3] that the Kaehlerian metric $\bar{g}^{c}$ has on $\bar{U}$ the following decomposition

$$
\mathrm{ds}{ }^{2}=2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{g}_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{c} \mathrm{~d} z^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\beta}, \quad \bar{g}_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{c}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} z_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{\beta}}
$$

where $u$ is a real-valued function on $\bar{U}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}-i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}\right)\right\}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}
$$

Further, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{g}_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{c}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+i \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}\right), \\
& \bar{g}_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}^{c}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}-i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}-i \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \bar{g}^{c}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \bar{g}^{c}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\beta}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}^{c}+\bar{g}_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}^{c}++\bar{g}_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{c}+\bar{g}_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}^{c}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}^{c}+\bar{g}_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}^{c}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can consider the restriction of $\bar{g}$ and the function $u$ on the neighborhood $U$. So, we have obtained.
Theorem 8. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be coordinates is some coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$. There exists a smooth function $u: U \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ that $g_{i j}=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$ on $U$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let $(M, J, g)$ be a Kaehlerian manifold $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$, be coordinates is some coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}=J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \alpha=\overline{1, n}$. We consider a function $u: U \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ from Theorem 5 . Then, we have the following conditions on this function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=-g\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y_{\alpha} \partial y_{\beta}}=g\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}, \quad \alpha, \beta=\overline{1, n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Conclusion

We consider such mappings in the category of Riemannian manifolds that metrics are invariant with respect to them. It follows that only totally geodesic submanifolds are "naturally good". Theorems 6 and 7 allow considering any Riemannian manifold as a totally geodesic submanifold of a Kaehlerian (hyper Kaehlerian) one i.e. to apply the results of Kaehlerian (hyper Kaehlerian) geometry to Riemannian metrics. We remark that Whitnies embeddings are not suitable in this context.
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