# THE METHOD OF FINDING THE OPTIMAL ROUTE BASED ON THE ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM MODERN MAP SERVICES

### Adzinets D.N.

Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics,

Associate Professor

# Solovtsov V.V.

Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics, undergraduate student

## Abstract

The article presents a method of route optimization on resources which should be spent for route passage. The proposed algorithm is based on the idea that rise require more resources than the descent for passage. For flat locality, the result of the algorithm coincides with the result obtained from Google Maps. For hilly and mountainous terrain, the algorithm selects routes that are differ from Google Maps with a lower content of the number of lifts, which accordingly makes routes less energy consuming.

**Keywords**: navigation solution, energy functional, optimal route.

**Introduction**. Nowadays navigation software is very popular. That software is widely used by both pedestrians and major transport companies. Existing

navigation solutions offer the following route search optimizations: the fastest route and the shortest route [1,2]. In this article we will propose an algorithm of route optimization on resources which should be spent for route passage.

The target audience of this algorithm is pedestrians, cyclists and drivers.

**Task statement**: it is required to find a route between two points (the route can also include a number of waypoints), the passage of which requires the least amount of resources.

We will proceed from the fact that more resources are spent on a rise than on the descent, then *the least cost route* is the route with the least quantity of lifts, as the rise takes more petrol for drivers as well physical effort for pedestrians and cyclists.

Primary data. Suppose the route includes 4 points, where point #1 is the starting point, point #4 is the end point, points #2 and #3 are waypoints of the route (Figure 1). There are 3 routes (can be more or less) between each current and next points, in the figure they are marked with green, red and yellow colors.

Figure 1 — Route and waypoints, top view (the figure does not carry any information about the elevation on the ground)

Routes between points shown in the figure are obtained using navigation services such as Google Maps/Here Maps/Sygic. In this paper, the service Google Maps will be used as an electronic map data provider. Google Maps Directions API will be used to get routes [3], to do this, HTTP GET request should be sent to the following address:

https://maps.googleapis.com/maps/api/directions/json?parameters

where parameters are query parameters, among them:

- origin, required parameter, departure address;

- destination, required parameter, destination address;

key, required parameter, API Authorization Key, obtained in Google
Developers Console;

mode, travel mode for route building, one of the DRIVING, WALKING,
BICYCLING;

 alternatives, a flag, specifies that the Directions service may provide more than one route alternative in the response.

The value of the alternatives flag must be set to true, because then the least cost route will be selected from the returned main and alternative routes.

Elevation value of any location can be obtained with Google Maps Elevation API [4]. To do this, HTTP GET request should be sent to the following address:

https://maps.googleapis.com/maps/api/elevation/json?parameters

where parameters are query parameters, among them:

key, required parameter, API Authorization Key, obtained in Google
Developers Console;

 — locations, the location(s) on the earth (latitude and longitude) from which to return elevation data.

Thus for any point of any route it is possible to get the elevation value. For example, for the green route section between points 1-2 of Figure 1, the chart of the elevation differences may look like in Figure 2:



Figure 2 — Chart of the elevation differences for the green route section 1-2

The main **idea** is to assign a weight to each route between each adjacent two points. For this, the route is divided into many sections, for each section the length L, the elevation of the starting point Hstart and the elevation of the end point of the section Hend are known.

Let's introduce the concept of *energy functional*:

$$E = f(a), \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  — slope angle of the route. The angle can be calculated by the following formula:

$$\alpha = \sin \frac{H_{end} - H_{start}}{L}$$
(2)

Let's introduce the concept of *cost functional*:

$$b = f(E, L), \tag{3}$$

where *E* — previously introduced energy functional, *L* — section length.

The weight value for the entire route will be calculated as the sum of the cost functional values for each section:

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \tag{4}$$

Weights are calculated for each route. Thus, each route will correspond to



its total weight, proportional to the cost of its passage:

Figure 3 — Routes with calculated weights

After the weights are calculated, we can move from the map (Figure 3) to the graph where the vertices are the points of the route, and the routes between the points are the edges of the graph. The weight of the route is equal to the edge weight (Figure 4).



Figure 4 — Route graph

Here are **examples** of calculations of energy functional and cost functional



(Figure 5, 6):

Figure 5 — Route section — rise — has a slope  $\alpha$  = 45

For this section the energy functional will be calculated according to the following formula:

$$E = 1 + \frac{a}{100} = 1.45 \tag{5}$$



Figure 6 — Route section — declivity — has a slope  $\alpha$  = 30°

For this section the energy functional will be calculated according to the following formula:

$$E = 1 - \frac{a}{100} = 0.70 \tag{6}$$

Thus for the rises the functional energy will be calculated by the formula:

$$E = 1 + \frac{a}{100}$$
(7)

for descents :

$$E = 1 - \frac{a}{100} \tag{8}$$

In turn, the cost functional will be calculated as:

$$b = f(E, L) = E \cdot L \tag{9}$$

**Test results.** An example of the algorithm work is the route searching in Lisbon, Portugal, the beginning of the route — R. Pinheiro Chagas 1050, the end of the route — R. Damasceno Monteiro 1170 (Figure 7).

Alternative routes and corresponding weights calculated according to the algorithm are presented in table 1.



Table 1 — Routes weights, Lisbon, Portugal

#	Start	End	Color	Weight
1	R. Pinheiro Chagas	R. Damasceno Monteiro		2603.28827
	1050	1170		
2	R. Pinheiro Chagas	R. Damasceno Monteiro		2478.29080
	1050	1170		
3	R. Pinheiro Chagas	R. Damasceno Monteiro		2492.28877
	1050	1170		

Thus the algorithm has selected the route with the lowest weight value, namely the route #2 from table 1 (Figure 8).



Figure 8 — Route chosen by the algorithm, Lisbon, Portugal

The Route offered by Google Maps navigation service corresponds to route #3 from table 1 and is shown in Figure 9.



Example for a route that includes waypoints is route searching in Bergen, Norway. The Point of departure is Sollien, the destination point is Hjelms vei 5063, and the waypoint is Blekenberg 5055 (Figure 10).



Figure 10 — Routes between waypoints, Bergen, Norway

Alternative routes and corresponding weights calculated according to the algorithm are presented in table 2.

Table 2 — Routes weights, Bergen, Norway

#	Start	End	Color	Weight
1	Sollien	Hjelms vei 5063		1826.00368
2	Sollien	Hjelms vei 5063		1691.90049

3	Sollien	Hjelms vei 5063	1746.87088
4	Hjelms vei 5063	Blekenberg 5055	2376.71356
5	Hjelms vei 5063	Blekenberg 5055	2483.69777
6	Hjelms vei 5063	Blekenberg 5055	2279.71571

Based on the data in table 2, the search route will consist of two parts, route #2 and route #6, as these routes have the smallest weights for their sections of the path. Searching route is shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11 — The route chosen by algorithm

The route offered by Google Maps navigation service corresponds to route



#1 and route #4 from table 2 and is presented in Figure 12.

Figure 12 — The route proposed by Google Maps service

**Conclusion.** By analyzing finished research, it can be concluded that the result of the algorithm is better than the similar solution from Google Maps for hilly and mountainous terrain. For flat locality, the result of the algorithm coincides with the results obtained from Google Maps. For hilly and mountainous terrain, the described algorithm selects routes that are differ from Google Maps with a lower content of the number of lifts, which accordingly makes routes less energy consuming.

#### References

1. Tarasyan V., Polushkin A. (2017) OPTIMIZATION OF THE PATH IN THE INHOMOGENEOUS ENVIRONMENT // Fundamental research. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.fundamental-research.ru/ru/article/view?id=41828">http://www.fundamental-research.ru/ru/article/view?id=41828</a>

2. Improving Operations with Route Optimization – Towards Data Science (2018). Retrieved from <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/improving-operations-</u> with-route-optimization-4b8a3701ca39

3. Developer Guide | Directions API | Google Developers (2019). Retrieved from <u>https://developers.google.com/maps/documentation/directions/intro</u>.

4. Developer Guide | Elevation API | Google Developers (2019). Retrieved from <u>https://developers.google.com/maps/documentation/elevation/intro</u>